## In The Matter Of:

PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' BENEFITS PROGRAM BOARD VS ZOOM/TELEPHONIC MEETING NOTICE AND AGENDA

July 25, 2024

Capitol Reporters
628 E. John St # 3
Carson City, Nevada 89706
775 882-5322

Original File 7-25-24 PEBP.txt

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

1	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' BENEFITS PROGRAM BOARD
2	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
3	ZOOM/TELEPHONIC MEETING NOTICE AND AGENDA
4	THURSDAY, JULY 25, 2024
5	CARSON CITY AND LAS VEGAS, NEVADA
6	
7	
8	The Board: JOY GRIMMER - Chair
9	STACIE WEEKS - Member JIM BARNES - Member
10	JANELL WOODWARD - Member JENNIFER MCCLENDON - Member
11	BEPSY STRASBURG - Member
12	For the Board: RADHIKA KUNNEL
13	Deputy Attorney General
14	For Staff: CELESTENA GLOVER Executive Officer
15	JESSICA CRANE Executive Assistant
16	MICHELLE WEYLAND Chief Financial Officer
	NIK PROPER
17	Operations Officer LESLIE BITTLESTON
18	Quality Control Officer
19	
20	
21	Reported by: CAPITOL REPORTERS  Certified Shorthand Reporters
22	BY: KATHY JACKSON  Nevada CCR #402
23	628 E. John Street, Suite 3
24	Carson City, Nevada 89703 (775) 882-5322
	CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

1		INDEX	
2	AGENDA	ITEM	PAGE
3	1.	Open Meeting; Roll Call	5
4	2.	Public Comment	
5		Public comment will be taken during this agenda item. No action may be taken on any matter	
6		raised under this item unless the matter is included on a future agenda as an item on which	
7		action may be taken. Persons making public comments to the Board will be taken under	
8		advisement but will not be answered during the meeting. Comments may be limited to three	
9		minutes per person at the discretion of the chairperson. Additional three minute comment	
10		periods may be allowed on individual agenda items at the discretion of the chairperson.	
11		These additional comment periods shall be limit to comments relevant to the agenda item under	ed
12		consideration by the Board. Persons making public comment need to state and spell their na	me
13		for the record at the beginning of their testim	
14	3.	PEBP Board disclosures for applicable Board mee agenda items. (Radhika Kunnel, Deputy Attorney	ting
15		General)	9
16	4.	Consent Agenda (Joy Grimmer, Board Chair)	
17		Consent items will be considered together and acted on in one motion unless an item is removed to be	
18		considered separately by the Board.	10
19		4.1 Approval of Action Minutes from the May 23 2024 PEBP Board meeting.	, 11
20		4.2 Receipt of quarterly staff reports for the	
21		period ending March 31, 2024:	
22		4.2.1 Q3 Budget Report	
23		4.2.2 Q3 Utilization Report	
24		4.2.3 Contract Status Report CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322	

1			INDEX	
2	AGENDA	ITEM		PAGE
3		4.3	Receipt of quarterly vendor reports for the period ending March 31, 2024:	
4			4.3.1 Q3 UMR-Obesity Case Management	
5			4.3.2 Q3 UMR-Diabetes Care Management	11
6				
7			4.3.3 Q3 Sierra Healthcare Options and United Healthcare Plus Network - PPO Network	
8			4.3.4 Q3 United Healthcare - Basic Life	
9			Insurance	
10			4.3.5 Q3 Express Scripts-Summary Report	
11			4.3.6 Q3 Express Scripts-Utilization Report	
12			4.3.7 UMR - Performance Guarantee Report	
13			4.3.8 Doctor on Demand - Engagement Report	
14			4.3.9 Real Appeal - Utilization Report	
15		4.4	Revised PEBP Language Access Plan per NRS 232.0081	
16		4.5	Self Insurance Internal Service Fund	
17			Financial Statement	
18		4.6	State Retirees' Health & Welfare Benefits Fund Financial Statement	12
19	_	-1		
20	5.	Admin	tion of Board Vice-Chair pursuant to Nevada histrative Code (NAC) 287.172 Eligible	
21		Jim I	idates are Bepsy Strasburg, Michelle Kelley, Barnes, Janell Woodward and Jennifer endon	1 =
22				15
23	6.		utive Officer Report (Celestena Glover, utive Officer)	16
24	7.	Over	view of Current Plan Options CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322	19

1		INDEX	
2	AGENDA	ITEM	PAGE
3	8.	Discussion regarding the framework for development of the Agency Budget Request for the 2026-2027 Biennium	38
5 6 7	9.	Discussion and acceptance of Claim Technologies Incorporated audit findings for State of Nevada Public Employees' Benefits Program Plan administer by UMR for the period of January 1, 2024 - March 31, 2024.	ced 44
8 9 10	10.	Discussion and acceptance of Claim Technologies Incorporated audit findings for State of Nevada Public Employees' Benefits Program Plans administered by Express Scripts for the period of July 1, 2022 - June 30, 2023.	46
11	11.	Public Comment	53
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	12.	Adjournment	54
23 24		CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322	

ſ	
1	THURSDAY, JULY 25, 2024, CARSON CITY, NEVADA
2	-000-
3	CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Good morning, everyone.
4	This is the Public Employee Benefit Program meeting on July
5	25th, 2024. It is 9:00 a.m.
6	Would staff please call the role.
7	MS. CRANE: Good morning. Thank you.
8	Chair Grimmer?
9	CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Here.
10	MS. CRANE: Michelle Kelley is absent today.
11	Jim Barnes?
12	MEMBER BARNES: Here.
13	MS. CRANE: Jennifer McClendon?
14	MEMBER MCCLENDON: Here.
15	MS. CRANE: Janell Woodward?
16	MEMBER WOODWARD: Here.
17	MS. CRANE: Bepsy Strasburg?
18	MEMBER STRASBURG: Here.
19	MS. CRANE: And Stacie Weeks?
20	MEMBER WEEKS: Here.
21	MS. CRANE: Thank you. We do have a quorum.
22	Please remember to state spell your name before speaking and
23	also speak loudly for our transcriber.
24	CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Okay. We'll move on to Item CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

Number 2, Agenda Item Number 2, public comment. 1 2 comment will be taken during the agenda item. No action may 3 be taken on any matter raised under this item unless the matter is included on the future agenda as an item on which 4 action may be taken. 5 Public comments to the Board will be taken under 6 7 advisement but will not be answered during the meeting. 8 Comments may be limited to three minutes per person at the 9 discretion of the Chairperson. Please state and spell your 10 name when you make your public comment, and we'll start in Carson City. Do we have anyone? 11 12 MR. HOPKINS: Madam Chair, we do have a few on 13 Zoom right now. I'll get up the slide. CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Okay. None in Carson City. 14 So seeing none, we will go to the phones, the Zoom. 15 MR. HOPKINS: One moment, Madam Chair. 16 17 getting the slide up right now. As a reminder, Zoom is used 18 for public comment only. This meeting is streaming live on 19 the PEBP YouTube channel. If you want to watch the Board meeting there, the YouTube link is joined on the agenda. 20 21 For those of have joined for public comment, your 22 name or last four digits of your phone number will be

slowly state and spell your name for the record and proceed CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

Please

announced. You'll be advised you have been unmuted.

23

24

with your comments. As a reminder, for those on the phone, please press star six to unmute.

Chris Cyberson, you have permission to speak.

Please slowly state and spell your name for the record if you wish to make public comment.

Caller with the last four digits 0891, please press start six to unmute and state and spell your name for the record if you wish to make public comment.

MS. LAIRD: Thank you very much. Good morning,
Board Chair Grimmer, Executive Officer Glover and fellow
Board members and guests. My name for the record is Terri
Laird, T-e-r-ri L-a-i-r-d, and I'm the executive director at
RPEN, the Retired Public Employees of Nevada, where we
represent mostly retired public employees but also lobby on
behalf of all public employees, retirees, as well as actives.
We also wish Chair Grimmer much success in her new position.

The Board may have looked over public comment submitted in writing already from one of our members, Pamela French, a relatively new retiree who is on the Medicare Exchange. She reached out to us as a member, asking if we might be able to intervene on her behalf of a serious issue she was facing that turned into a four-month nightmare, though it's currently coming to a close. It did cost her emotionally and financially.

CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

She had quite a bit of difficulty resolving her issues and much might be attributed to the lack of a quality control officer, but we're happy to see in the PEBP packet that that position has been filled by a former Board member, so hopefully that will solve any future difficulties, like Ms. French.

We have testified often about issues with the Medicare Exchange since it was implemented several years ago, where we've been able to -- and we also testified, I should say, about the fact that most retirees in the Exchange are currently pretty comfortable with it. And the thought of PEBP going with a new provider other than VIA Benefits is somewhat frightening considering how much difficulty the original Extend Health, which later morphed into a couple of name changes, now known as VIA Benefits.

Our concern is that many retirees still are not using the internet. And since PEBP does everything online, that continues to be a concern, especially with the new provider. So we're hopeful PEBP will choose to stay with VIA Benefits.

We're also interested to see in your packet the total reserve is higher than projected, especially as we hope to lobby the legislature, along with our advocacy group next year. The rates, the health reimbursement arrangement from CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

\$13 per month for years of service to at least \$15 a month. 1 2 We hope the Board will consider this request as you look 3 ahead. All in all, it is good to see PEBP staffing 4 levels are improving. And in closing, we're hopeful that 5 Ms. Glover will be able to find the time to submit the health 6 matters column to go in our member newsletter that's mailed 7 six times a year to all 7,000 of our members, and now our 8 9 members look forward to reading about PEBP, especially important for those who do not have e-mail or access to a 10 11 computer or are simply resistant to going online. Thank you. 12 MR. HOPKINS: Thank you. 13 Will the caller with the last four digits 1999, you have permission to speak. Please slowly state and spell 14 your name for the record if you wish to make public comment. 15 Caller with the last four digits 2294, you have 16 permission to speak. Please slowly state and spell your name 17 for the record if you wish to make public comment. 18 19 Caller Amure, you have permission to speak. Please slowly state and spell your name for the record if you 20 21 wish to make public comment. 22 Madam Chair, that's all for public comment. Ιf 23 they try and come back, we'll do it in the second session. 24 CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Okay, thank you.

Okay. We'll close Agenda Item Number 2 and go to Agenda Item Number 3, PEBP Board disclosures for applicable Board meeting agenda items. Deputy Attorney General Radhika Kunnel.

MS. KUNNEL: Thank you, Madam Chair, and welcome on board.

CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Thank you.

MS. KUNNEL: This agenda remains to allow me to make a disclosure regarding conflicts of interest on behalf of the Board members, who are eligible for PEBP benefits. Pursuant to NRS 281A.420 on behalf of the Board members who are eligible for PEBP benefits or whose families are eligible for PEBP benefits, I offer this disclosure that they will be voting on those items that may affect the benefits available to them or their family members. The law does not require abstention from voting merely because the Board member or their family member is eligible for PEBP benefits.

At this time, I invite any member of the Board who has any additional disclosures to make it now. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Okay. Seeing no additional disclosures, I will close Agenda Item Number 3 and move on to Agenda Item Number 4, consent agenda. All items for public action, consent will be considered together and acted on in CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

```
one motion unless an item is removed to be considered
1
 2
    separately by the Board.
 3
                MEMBER STRASBURG: Bepsy Strasburg.
                                                     I would like
 4
    to remove 4.1 and 4.6 to be voted separately. I was not here
    during the last meeting. I was excused, so that's why I'm
5
    pulling 4.1.
 6
                CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Okay. Anyone else wish to
 7
8
    pull any items? Okay. Seeing none, I would entertain a
9
    motion on -- so seeing none, I'll entertain a motion on all
    items other than 4.1 and 4.6, which have been pulled.
10
11
                Do -- is there any further discussion or do I
12
    have a motion?
                MEMBER STRASBURG:
13
                                   I move to approve the consent
    agenda items except for the items pulled from the agenda.
14
                MEMBER WOODWARD: Janell Woodward. I'll second.
15
                CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Okay. We have a motion and
16
17
    a second. Is there any further discussion? Okay. Seeing
18
    none, I'll call for a vote. All those in favor, signify by
19
    saying aye.
                (The vote was unanimously in favor of the
20
21
    motion.)
22
                CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: All those opposed? Okay.
23
    Motion passes.
```

Was there a

We'll go ahead and hear item 4.1.

CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

24

```
1 specific question on 4.1?
```

- 2 MEMBER STRASBURG: No. Other than I will be 3 abstaining from that.
- CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Okay. Okay. And then
  moving on to Item 4.6, state retirees health and welfare
  benefits fund financial statement. Were there specific
  questions on it?
- 8 MEMBER STRASBURG: Yeah. I would like to -9 Bepsy Strasburg. I would like Officer Glover to tell us a
  10 little bit about the material weakness that was noted by the
  11 external auditor and what next steps are being planned for
  12 that action item.
- 13 CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Thank you.
- Okay. Since there was no questions on 4.1, we will go ahead and take a vote on it, and then we'll hear 4.6.
- 16 So do I have a motion on 4.1?
- 17 MEMBER BARNES: This is Jim Barnes. I'll move 18 for approval on 4.1.
- 19 CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Thank you.
- 20 MEMBER MCCLENDON: This is Jennifer McClendon.
- 21 I'll second.
- CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Okay. We have a motion and
- a second. Any further discussion? Seeing none, I'll call
- for the vote. All those in favor, signify by saying aye.

  CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

(The vote was unanimously in favor of the 1 2 motion.) 3 CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: All those opposed? Motion 4 passes. MEMBER STRASBURG: One abstention. 5 CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: 6 Okay. Please go ahead. MS. GLOVER: Good morning. Celestena Glover for 7 8 the Public Employees' Benefits Program. The material 9 weakness, I know one of the questions was by the partial Some of it, as far as doing our financial 10 agreement. 11 statements internally, we don't have the resources nor the 12 expertise. That's why we hired an outside auditor. Even if 13 we did have that knowledge and expertise, we would still need to hire an expert and outside auditor because we need an 14 15 audit financial statement for it to be final. We are looking for the possibility of getting 16 17 some financial statement software to help us provide a better 18 product to our auditors when they are scheduled to come on 19 board. As you know, this audit is for the plan year 2023 or fiscal year 2023, whichever way you want to look at it, and 20 we are pretty late in the game trying to get these audits 21 22 So, you know, we're looking at it, figuring out a 23 better way to get the information. 24 A lot of the information we rely on from our

actuary. So as far as OPEBP is concerned, there was some question about which OPEBP needed to go in the report. Was it 74 or 75? And we got assistance from Segal for that. He worked with our auditor Mike Bailey, and they are in the room to answer any other questions that I may miss.

And then any other shortcomings, obviously, we will continue to work with the controller's office and our auditors to make sure that for the next go around, which we should be starting in the next few months, are hopefully better information, so it's just getting training internally. It's been a lot of years since I've done this, so trying to go back and see what has been done in the past. And some of what we've done in the past no longer applies, so still thinking in that line what we can do.

MEMBER STRASBURG: Thank you, Officer Glover.

That's what I was looking for, that there's some action

that's being considered for the next go around.

CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Okay. Any further discussion? Okay. Seeing none, do I have a motion to approve Item 4.6?

MEMBER BARNES: This is Jim Barnes. I'll move
for approval.

MEMBER WOODWARD: Janell Woodward. I'll second.

CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Okay. We have a motion and CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

```
a second. Any further discussion? Seeing none, I'll call
1
 2
    for the vote. All those in favor, signify by saying aye.
                (The vote was unanimously in favor of the
 3
 4
    motion.)
                                     All those opposed?
 5
                CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER:
6
    passes.
                We will close Agenda Item Number 4 and go on to
 7
    Agenda Item Number 5, election of Board Vice Chair pursuant
8
9
    to Nevada Administrative code NAC 287.172. Eliqible
    candidates are Bepsy Strasburg, Michelle Kelley, Jim Barnes,
10
11
    Janell Woodward and Jennifer McClendon.
                                              This item is for a
12
    possible action. Let me correct that. I'm sorry.
                                                         The
13
    agenda I had did not have Stacie Weeks. Stacie Weeks is also
    an eligible Board member, so I apologize for that one.
14
15
                Do we have any comments for possible election?
                MS. GLOVER: So this is Celestena Glover.
16
                                                            So the
17
    reason Stacie's name wasn't on the agenda, we hadn't -- at
18
    the time we posted the agenda, we had got word that she had
19
    been reappointed to the Board, so that now makes her
20
    eligible.
21
                And I was contacted by Michelle Kelley.
22
    traveling, and she said that if the Board wished to reelect
23
    her, she would be happy to continue with role as vice chair.
24
    She's your current vice chair.
                  CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322
```

```
MEMBER WEEKS:
                               This is Stacie Weeks. I would --
1
 2
    I would support Michelle Kelley if she wants to do it again.
 3
    I think she did a great job.
                MEMBER STRASBURG:
                                   Same here.
 4
                CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Okay. Do I have a formal
 5
    motion then?
6
                MEMBER WEEKS: Yes.
                                     Stacie Weeks.
 7
                                                    I move to
8
    reelect Michelle Kelley as Vice Chairman.
 9
                MEMBER STRASBURG: Bepsy Strasburg.
                                                     Second.
                CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Okay. We have a motion and
10
    a second.
               Is there any further discussion? Okay. Seeing
11
12
    none, I'll call for the vote. All those in favor?
                (The vote was unanimously in favor of the
13
14
    motion.)
                CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Anyone opposed?
15
16
    Motion passes. We'll close Agenda Item Number 5 and move on
    to Agenda Item Number 6, executive officer report. Celestena
17
             This is for information and discussion.
18
    Glover.
19
                MS. GLOVER: Good morning again. Celestena
    Glover for the record. The Executive Officer Report, before
20
    you, provides information for both the Board members and
21
22
    stakeholders to discuss overall activities in PEBP.
23
    enrollment closed on May 31st, and we had a pretty successful
24
    open enrollment.
                      We're still short staffed in our member
                  CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322
```

services unit, and we have new people in that unit, but we've managed to take on those calls and respond to member needs.

The rest of the PEBP staff also were on the phones to assist any PEBP members. We get a lot of calls for password resets because they only get in their accounts once a year and that's to do open enrollment.

Open enrollment is not mandatory at this point. So if there's no change, then those numbers don't need to come on and enroll in their accounts. The chart in this report gives you a breakout of the enrollment numbers and this includes dependents. You can see the 2023 enrollment compared to the 2024 enrollment and the 2025 enrollment. We are seeing migration into the low deductible plan for both the EPO and CDHP.

It seems like the EPO is taking the biggest hit for people being out, but we just wanted you to have the final numbers. We presented some preliminary numbers back in May, but these are the final counts. There may be a few changes here and there because of cleanup work still going on but pretty much this is it.

Member services, PEBP in general took 4,719 calls during the month of May, and they were able to respond and resolve the majority of those issues in the initial calls.

Strategic planning meeting, this is just a CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

reminder that we have strategic planning meeting scheduled 1 2 for October. We will entertain how being two to three Board 3 members. We don't want to run into potentially a forum attending. So if you will contact Jessica Crane, our 4 executive assistant, and let her know if you're interested in 5 attending, that would be good. We'll have some of our 6 vendors will be attending in-person. We will be doing this 7 8 over at the Fandango, so it's here in Carson City, for those 9 of you that are here traveling. You're traveling. 10 guys. 11 And then staffing, I'm happy to announce Leslie 12 Bittleston has agreed to become the QC officer for PEBP. And

Bittleston has agreed to become the QC officer for PEBP. And she, as you know, is a former Board member. It was good timing. Her term expired, and she was able to come on because she would have had to give it up regardless. She started on July 9th, and we're trying to get her trained as quickly as possible, so we got a few jobs. And with that, I'll take any questions.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MEMBER WOODWARD: Janell Woodward for the record.

Just checking. I believe we were asked about that October

meeting in the past. If we've already responded, do we need
to respond again or?

MS. GLOVER: This is Celestena Glover. No. If

you already let Jessica know that you're interested, and
CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

we'll have you on the list. If we get too many people, then we'll figure out who we'll need to ask not to come. We will see how that works. I know there's a lot of interest in that.

I will say that at the September meeting, we typically do our initial ideas for plan design, plan design changes, going forward, and they're typically voted on in November or December meeting. Whatever comes out of the September meeting, we will be talking about in further detail at the strategic planning and any new ideas we come up with during that time that will affect the plan.

And keep in mind, we are building budgets. We're getting ready to go in session in a few short months. It seems like we're a ways away but it really isn't. So we'll make sure that we keep you guys all abreast of what's going on in that area too. But any changes we make that might need legislative approval, we'll have to keep that in mind.

CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Okay. Thank you for that.

Any other questions? Okay. Seeing none, I'll close Agenda Item Number 6 and move on to Agenda Item Number 7, overview of current plan options. Richard Ward, Segal, for information and discussion.

MR. WARD: Hi. Good morning, everybody.

CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Good morning. CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

MR. WARD: For the record Richard Ward with Segal. I want to welcome Leslie to the staff side. I'm going to enjoy continuing to work with you. And, Chair Grimmer, welcome to the PEBP Board. It's nice to see everybody in summer.

Let's see, we are -- our materials start on page 283 of the Board packet. And what we have today is -- is an overview and maybe a foundation of an overview of the program, the plan options with a little bit of analysis on each of the three to four options, plan options to -- that may provide a good foundation for the program and plan design changes that will be considered over the next couple of months that Executive Officer Glover mentioned a few minutes ago.

On this, on slide -- on page two of our materials, which is page 284, the Board packet, it's just a reminder, a summary of the plan designs for the CDHP, the low deductible PPO and then the EPO and the HMO.

And the top row has the actuarial value, which is the composite benefit value of all the different plan provisions, just a reminder of the actuarial value as a -- it's expressed as a percentage, and it reflects the expected portion of total costs that would be paid by PEBP, by the plan.

So for the CDHP, that has a 76.7 percent actuarial value, let's just call that 77 percent. What that means is that the plan is going to pay 77 percent on average across all members for all healthcare costs. Meaning that the members pay one minus that, 23 percent through deductibles, co-pays and co-insurance. And so the higher the percentage, the less cost share there is for the member on average.

And the CDHP plan is the leanest plan. It also has the lowest employee premium, which for plan year '25, we're showing in the bottom row there, the employee only premium at 5526, and then there's the low deductible health plan in the middle. And then the EPO and HMO are really one plan option with the HMO offered in the southern part of the state, and then the EPO and the other counties in the northern part of the State.

I will note that the EPO and HMO has -- has a much higher premium than the other plans and the actuarial value for the EPO is not that much higher than the loaded up health plan. It's about three points higher, but the premiums are from the employees' perspective substantially higher for the EPO.

And we show that on the next slide, on page three of our materials and page 285 of the overall Board packet.

CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

Just focusing on the employee only premiums that the same relationships hold true for the other coverage tiers for family and so on. Just a little bit of history, since the low deductible health plan was introduced for plan year '22, it's slotted in the middle in gray. The EPO is in the orangish color with the highest premium and note, there's been moderate increases, generally, every year, with an exception between plan years '23 and '24, when they were held flat. And for plan year '25, there was -- there was potentially going to be a larger increase in employee premiums, but the Board decided to use some catastrophic reserve funds to mitigate the increase to employees.

So the increase, just to go through the math here, for the EPO, for plan year '24, \$161, and let's just call it \$181 for '25, a 20 dollar increase. The Board decided to cover 50 percent of the needed increase in employee premiums with catastrophic reserves, so it would have been a 40 dollar increase. And as a result of splitting the difference, it's \$20 to the employees with the other \$20 funded from preserves.

And so I think a take away here is the EPO has been consistently higher at a consistently higher premium and CDHP and the low deductible PPO then comparably could close together, relative to the EPO.

On the next slide, we're showing per capita cost on a PMPM basis over the last couple of years. And the take away here is the EPO is the most expensive plan. I think that jives with the other information that we were just reviewing, has the highest cost plan, has, setting aside the HMO the richest benefit design, it has the highest employee premiums.

And then -- and then in the gray and the green, you can see the low deductible health plan and the CDHP kind of running along kind of comparably, and you see some variation over time. It's a self-insured plan. So there will be -- there will be some movement as we're comparing one year to the next or one quarter to the next or one month to the next.

And moving on to slide five, I know you all aren't shy but please, any questions or discussion at any point, let's -- I'll pause if anything comes to mind.

This next slide shows the enrollment over the last couple of years. And we just reviewed that there's been continued movement from the EPO to the other plans for plan year '25, and this has been a trend over the last couple of years. And, actually, enrollment is moving from both the CDHP and the EPO to that middle plan, the low deductible PPO.

And every year the low deductible plan picks up some CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

additional membership. And in the two graphs on the left,
the CDHP and the EPO, you can see pretty steady decline as we
see that shift in enrollment.

I think the -- this is being driven by the actuarial value or the plan value for EPO and the low deductible PPO being fairly comparable and there being a larger differential in premiums, so thinking about the difference in the cost versus the difference in the benefit. People are continuing to reassess that.

And then CDHP, that's a plan that has first dollar exposure. It has the high deductible that applies to everything that's not preventative or wellness services. The low deductible health plan has first dollar coverage. So I think some people are finding some value in that, and that might be a reason why they're migrating from the CDHP plan.

MEMBER STRASBURG: Question.

MR. WARD: Yes.

MEMBER STRASBURG: Bepsy Strasburg. Do you see, given this migration, and you mentioned that it has been happening for a while, do you see still the benefit of keeping the four programs?

MR. WARD: I think that's a reasonable consideration. So I think that's something that the Board has considered at least in discussion over the last year and CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

change, and I think it continues to be a viable 1 2 consideration, whether -- whether to continue with the 3 current plan options or to consider sunsetting one of them. MEMBER STRASBURG: Thank you. 4 MR. WARD: And maybe the next couple of slides 5 will add additional information to that consideration. 6 So now let's -- we have reviewed cost to the 7 8 plan, the PMPM claims costs. We've reviewed the premiums, 9 the benefit design and -- and then seeing migration from two of the plans to the low deductible health plan. 10 11 One of the -- one of the contributors, a major 12 contributor to cost is not just the benefit design but also 13 the health risk or the risk of the people that are in that plan. And two of the -- a couple of drivers are just the 14 15 demographic risk. So generally speaking, older people are 16 higher cost than younger people. So if you take an average 50-year-old, that 17 18 person is going to be more expensive than the average 19 25-year-old. And we see that the low deductible PPO has the lowest age. That's on the left. That's the line in gray. 20 21 The average member is between 33 and 34. The other plans

have a higher, an older membership.

22

23

24

```
portion of single coverage in that plan. And since we're
1
 2
    doing this measurement on a per member basis, there are fewer
 3
               So without as many children, that will lead to a
    higher average age for the overall membership. But I think,
 4
    just thinking about the EPO and the low deductible health
 5
    plan, there's higher age and more demographic risk in the
 6
 7
    EPO.
8
                MEMBER WEEKS:
                               Stacie Weeks. Can I ask a quick
9
    question on the acuity and the risk. So the chronic
    conditions, I know you -- I don't know if you're on that
10
11
    slide yet.
                MR. WARD:
                           Not yet.
                               Sorry. Earlier I noticed that
                MEMBER WEEKS:
```

- 12
- 13 cancer is the top driver. 14
- 15 MR. WARD: Uh-huh.
- 16 MEMBER WEEKS: At the top. So when it comes to 17 controlling costs, cancer is not something we can really 18 control. So is that typical for most other state employee 19 benefit plans? Do we have a sense of are we unique that cancer is like the -- like really impacting our employees 20 21 like significantly?
- 22 MR. WARD: Richard Ward for the record.
- 23 Unfortunately, no. It is a -- it is a common --
- 24 MEMBER WEEKS: It is common. CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

```
MR. WARD: -- top one or two condition.
1
                MEMBER WEEKS:
                                Employee benefit program.
 2
 3
                MR. WARD:
                            Yeah. We see that for state,
 4
    especially for other state plans.
                MEMBER WEEKS:
 5
                                Okay.
                MR. WARD:
                            That is a -- that is a common -- that
 6
7
    is something you have in common.
8
                MEMBER WEEKS:
                                Okay.
 9
                MR. WARD:
                           Especially out here in the west with
    other states.
10
11
                               Okay, thank you.
                MEMBER WEEKS:
12
                MR. WARD:
                            So just to get a flavor of the people
13
    that are in the plan, I think also something of note here,
    the percent of family coverage, the EPO is continuing, the
14
    portion of the membership that has family coverage has
15
    increased fairly noticeably over the last couple of years.
16
    It's been fairly consistent for the other plans.
17
                And what this is suggesting is that the migration
18
19
    from the EPO to the low deductible PPO is driven by a single,
    by people who have single coverage. So more single people
20
    are moving to the other plan, leaving behind a higher portion
21
22
    of employees with family coverage.
                And then the next slide is -- shows chronic
23
24
    conditions.
                 And this chronic condition prevalence is an
                  CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322
```

indicator of the health risk or morbidity within the plan. 1 2 Mention that generally speaking, a 50-year-old will be higher 3 cost than a 25-year-old. But if the 25-year-old or the 25 year olds have a number of chronic conditions and the 4 50-year-old does not, then the 25-year-old or people like 5 that 25-year-old will likely be higher cost than that 6 50-year-old. So health risk and overall morbidity is the 7 most significant contributor towards health risk and costs 8 9 within a plan. And we see that the EPO is -- is noticeably higher with chronic conditions. So about a third of the 10 11 members in the EPO have at least one chronic condition and 12 then the other plans are 25, are below a quarter of the 13 people. Stacie Weeks. Real quick, so on 14 MEMBER WEEKS: 15 that point, we have four plans. We have under 70,000 unique member lives, right? 16 17 MR. WARD: Uh-huh. So when you have that few of 18 MEMBER WEEKS: 19 people and you put them in four products, you're gonna have high cost coverage. I mean, I'm having a hard time 20 21 understanding why we have four plans because I can't imagine

that you ever -- it's like hard to spread the risk, right.

So if you have everyone that's unhealthy or the higher cost folks in one product.

MR. WARD: Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MEMBER WEEKS: It's just always going to be that So is there a reason we have four plans and not less. Sorry, maybe that's not a question to ask in this larger group, but I don't know how we're ever going to control costs and spread risk with 70,000 people with four products. Sorry.

Celestena Glover for the record. MS. GLOVER: think the reason there's four plans, initially we started out with the CDHP and two HMO's, Hometown Health and HPN, down in the south. Hometown Health was replaced with the EPO because there was some desire to keep an HMO like plan. But, really, in the north, our HMO acted more like a PPO, and there was also -- I don't know if you want to call it a requirement but trying to blend the northern HMO with the southern HMO because at the time it was less expensive in the south to keep those rates the same. So if you're on an HMO in the north, you pay the same as the folks in the south, even though the northern plan actually costs more.

So the EPO was the answer to that, but we're seeing that the EPO isn't really any less expensive than the old HMO was for the north. The low deductible was added later on because there was a desire from many of our members in the Board at the time to get that middle tier plan.

But you're right, spreading our small -- we're a big group or a we're a small group when it comes to this many offerings. Spreading them out like that does put us in a position of adverse selection, and your sickest people going into one plan and the price going through the roof. For that group of people, it might be worth it. But for other people that pick that plan that don't actively go in that open enrollment and change out of that plan, they're paying that higher cost too, but more and more of those folks are moving, as you saw from my report and from what Richard had shown in his report, that at some point the EPO becomes unsustainable because those costs are going to continue to go up.

MEMBER WEEKS: Thank you. So, Stacie Weeks. One last comment on that. I just, if there is an opportunity for us to think about -- obviously, we want options, and we want some folks who maybe want to pay less in premium. They don't have a lot of healthcare cost. They don't mind taking the risk of a higher deductible. Then there's some families are like I know. I'm going to use the doctor. I want to pay the premium now and have less cost on the back end.

But I think also think four plans less than

70,000 people is just cost prohibited. I just don't see it
being viable, sustainable. So I would like us to think about
that future. I know we have contracts and all those things,

CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

but I just want members to start thinking about that piece
because that is really driving I think premiums for folks, so

3 thank you.

MS. GLOVER: And we will be bringing that -Celestena Glover for the record. We will be bringing that
back in September and discussing it during the strategic
plan.

8 MEMBER WEEKS: Okay, thanks. Sorry.

MR. WARD: It's your meeting.

MEMBER WEEKS: I know, but I'm always interrupting you. Go ahead.

MR. WARD: Richard Ward for the record. So then moving on to slide eight in our materials, 290 in the Board packet. We -- we took PEBP member claims for each of the plans and analyzed for overall health risk. So there's a methodology of or you take each members' claims and assign or determine a health risk factor for each member, and that's based off of -- off of conditions in -- in the diagnostic codes, the types of providers they're saying, the types of medications that they're seeing.

For example, if someone may -- may be a well managed diabetic and will identify them in the claims data as being diabetic based off of their pharmacy data, we may not see any complications of the medical data that would indicate CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

that they're diabetic because they're well managed, but there's a risk associated with them being diabetic, and we account for that in our analysis.

We also look to see, continuing through with diabetics, if someone does have complications, if they were hospitalized or we see that they're not seeing their physician regularly, then they'll be assigned a higher risk score, and this is really meant to be a predicted indicator for what we think the overall costs and the needs will be for each member, relatively speaking, going forward. So it's a way -- it's a way to assess the expected costs are between the different plans.

And what we show here on this slide is that the risk in the HMO -- excuse me, in the EPO is about 30 percent higher than that in the low deductible health plan and about 40 percent higher, 40 points higher than in the CDHP, and we normalize this to be 1.0 across the entire membership. So if we took an average of the scores, we get 1.0, so it's just a relative risk.

And so what we have with EPO is higher costs, richer benefit design, much higher employee premiums and much higher health risk. So this -- these are all the hallmarks in an ongoing migration from EPO to the low deductible PPO.

Those are all hallmarks of the selection spiral that we were

Those are all hallmarks of the selection spiral that we were CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

just -- we were just mentioning where every year, the cost for the EPO, we're expecting to go up from the -- from the members' perspective, and that increase in premiums leads to, generally speaking, the lower cost people that are in that plan, reassessing the value of the plan.

They -- some of them move to the low deductible plan or perhaps even the CDHP plan, and then that movement of the lower cost people leads to the average for that plan increasing at a rate higher than overall trend, and then you repeat that the next year. And that's -- we're seeing that over the last couple of years.

To tie this all together, on the last slide,
we're -- we're measuring or showing what we're calling plan
efficiency. So, can we quantify all of those aspects? So we
have the per capita costs. We have the actuarial value, and
we have the risk scores. So we want to normalize for the
differences in plan design. We want to normalize in the
differences in risk to get a -- get a sense for which plan
option is managing care in the most cost efficient manner.

So, you may have a higher cost plan if you have high risk, but it's managing that risk efficiently. It's going to be high cost regardless because it's a higher risk membership. But if you account for these differences that may actually -- you may see and sometimes you do see that CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

that plan has the lowest normalized cost and is the most efficient plan.

What we're seeing here is that the EPO with, by this measure is the least efficient plan, just looking at the bottom line there. The lower number -- a lower number means greater efficiency or better efficiency. And the low deductible PPO is the most efficient plan, and I think we're seeing that. The members recognize that. The combination of plan value, the premium costs, they're migrating to this plan, and that plan has, relatively speaking, lower normalized costs. So it's -- you could think of it as the best value plan. That's another way to characterize it.

And I thought this can be a helpful way to, on a -- try to put all these different factors into one comparative metric and do as best of a same fruit kind of comparison that we can, given that there's differences in plan design, differences in membership, differences in premium costs and to use that as a foundation for the discussions, I think, some of us are anticipating over the next couple of months.

MEMBER WEEKS: Stacie Weeks. I just have a question on that. Let's just say we, I'm just throwing this out there, kind of focus in on that plan. We put most members or all members in a plan like that. I'm just CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

throwing this out there. It might not be the best idea, but
I'm just thinking out loud. And so we have all the risk in
one pool, but we provide cost sharing subsidies to those
folks that might need or choose or want to pay a little more
for some of the, you know, to get higher actuarial value,
similar to the Exchange, right? So if you're in a silver
plan, you can get a cost sharing subsidy, and that might
bring your actuarial value up. So members can still get that
sort of broader, more beneficial product from a cost sharing
standpoint, but you still have some members -- so that way
you can have one pool. So you don't have to have multiple
plans to get to a different actuarial value. You could try
to do it within one pool.

I'm just trying to think about -- because, again,

I'm just trying to think about -- because, again,

I think with this many members, it's really going to be

challenging for us to ever have even more than one, but maybe

two plans. I just don't see how we do it unless we think

about creating tiers of maybe cost sharing subsidies and

other things to get better actuarial value to certain members

that might need it in the same product. Does that make any

sense to you, what I'm trying to think about doing?

MR. WARD: Richard Ward for the record. There's a lot of merit in that.

MEMBER WEEKS: Yeah.
CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

```
MR. WARD: I will say that everything is -- all
1
 2
    these plans are already pooled from a risk prospective,
 3
    and --
                MEMBER WEEKS: But they're having adverse
 4
    selection.
 5
                           There is, and it's the -- the
 6
                MR. WARD:
7
    methodology that's used to determine the employee premiums.
8
                MEMBER WEEKS: Got it.
 9
                MR. WARD:
                           In that the premiums are determined
10
    using the difference in costs on a dollar per dollar basis.
11
    So it's -- one of the ways to characterize this is a base
12
    buy-up approach where everybody gets, for the same tier, gets
13
    the same subsidy, and then they pay the full difference on a
    dollar for dollar basis, and so that can lead to that
14
15
    difference being leveraged between the lower plan and the
16
    higher plan, and we're starting to see that. We are seeing
17
    that.
18
                MEMBER WEEKS:
                               Uh-huh.
19
                MR. WARD:
                           Between the EPO and the other two
20
    plans.
21
                MEMBER WEEKS:
                                Stacie Weeks.
                                               Sorry.
22
    procure them all together in a way where we require them to
23
    sort of blend some of that across the product so we're not
24
    getting that kind of premium affect?
                  CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322
```

MR. WARD: Richard Ward for the record. 1 That 2 methodology is Board policy, I believe. So they're self-insured and they all -- looking here in case I speak out 3 of turn, but they utilize the same vendor contracts. 4 MEMBER WEEKS: 5 Okay. So with it being a self-insured plan, 6 MR. WARD:

MR. WARD: So with it being a self-insured plan, there's discretion in exploring some of those options that you're mentioning.

MEMBER WEEKS: Thank you.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. GLOVER: Celestena Glover for the record. In the past when we did have the CDHP and the HMO's, the percent of the subsidy was higher for the CDHP than it was for the HMO because the CDHP has been, was at the time and still is the primary plan.

MEMBER WEEKS: Uh-huh.

MS. GLOVER: And at the time, we needed to look at -- we had to stay within, and I'm -- I'm not sure it's still that way, but at the time when we did that, we also had to keep employee only premium out of a point where the percent of employee payroll was kept fairly low.

MEMBER WEEKS: Uh-huh.

MS. GLOVER: So that's part of how we did that, and CDHP has stayed pretty consistent with the employee premium. So if we go down the path of sunsetting one or more CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

plans then that is something we may need to look at again is how are we subsidizing those plans, keeping in mind the legislature may or may not agree with those ideas, so.

MR. WARD: That's the end of our materials.

CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Okay. Any other questions?

Okay. We will close Agenda Item Number 7, and move on to

Agenda Item Number 8, discussion regarding the framework for

development of the agency budget request for the 2026 through

2027 biennium. Celestena Glover. For possible action.

MS. GLOVER: This is Celestena Glover for the record. What we have before you is a high level budget framework report. It provides you some background on how we are required to develop our budgets, any marching orders we receive from the Governor's Office and GFO. That's the Governor's Finance Office.

There was a change in last session. In the past, typically base budget was the first year of the biennium, so it would have been 2024, but they changed that to the second year, which we thought might be better, but it is proving to be challenging because we don't have real numbers. We have budgeted numbers, and that's where -- in the past we've used actual expenses to determine where we're going forward. Now we're -- we don't have that number. We're still looking at '24, so we have a starting point, but really it's '25 budget CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

that's putting us in a position where we have to make some changes, for lack of a better term, on what we're going to need going forward.

Our direction is essentially a flat budget, which is pretty typical. Normally we get either direction for a flat budget or cut budgets. This go around, it looks like we're looking at flat budgets, which we're glad it's not the cut. But they do allow for agency specific inflation, so for us it's going to be based on our caseload. So if we're projecting higher enrollment, which, with to fill vacancies, that is definitely a potential and also the increase in retiree accounts, again, another potential for increasing enrollment, so something that will take into account.

The other piece of inflation, of course, for PEBP is going to be trained and experienced for setting our plan rates. The last two years, so plan year '23, we ended up with a shortfall at the end of the year for paying medical and dental claims. We're seeing that again this year. We are going to IFC for additional funding, so we can close out the year.

And my expectation based on what we've been budgeted for is the same thing is gonna happen if we don't account for those increases. We don't have the excess reserves to cover those shortfalls so that is forcing us to CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

hit catastrophic reserves. We do have some savings and other expenditure categories, so we're using that and then offsetting with catastrophic reserves. Right now we're looking at probably hitting it for about three and a half million dollars, but we're looking at potentially a 10,000,000 dollar shortfall. So we've got savings in other places in the budget, so it's a combination of the two. So we're going to take that into account when we build the '26-27 budget.

Enhancements, we're not really looking at a lot of enhancements. But anything we request has to meet the Governor's three-year plan. And in the report, you'll see that that includes education and workforce, economic growth and business development, health and wellness, public safety and infrastructure, government support services and rural and natural resources.

Right now we're not recommending any major budget enhancements. Our primary focus really is going to be on making sure that the funding we get helps offset any employee premium increases because, like I said, we are expecting that. We -- our only enhancement really that we're asking for is equipment and software for our conference room so that we can do virtual meetings and their trainees and things like that, so we can utilize that better than we can right now.

CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

We can use it for a meeting, but we don't -- we're not really set up for anything else in there. As I said, my focus is going to be on making sure the employer contribution does definitely offset employee premiums.

And then part of the discussion really will be the subsidy policy when we go to GFO and when we go to the legislature. And then during the September, October and November meetings are we, you know, changing benefit design for '26? Are we sunsetting plans? What is it that the Board wants to do? And we'll take all of that into account.

Budgets are, of course, confidential until the Governor does the State of the State address. So once this becomes Governor's recommended budget, we won't have any further discussion. I avoided putting any dollar amounts in here, just to -- just to maintain that, that level of confidentiality of not kind of slip onto the wrong side of that.

And with that, I'll take any questions. The recommendations are there, and essentially it's to approve the submission of the agency request budget based on the existing plan benefit design and include the enhancements that we requested for the new equipment and software to enhance our capability in the conference room.

MEMBER STRASBURG: Bepsy Strasburg. Earlier when CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

we were talking about the financial statements, you mentioned some software. Is that part of your regular operating budget and not an enhancement?

MS. GLOVER: This is Celestena Glover for the record. We have not included it as an enhancement yet. We're investigating what our options are. In the past we've been using Excel spreadsheets, but we're looking at, is there a better option? If our budget can handle it in 2025, we would like to purchase it then, instead of waiting, but then we would include it in the '26-27 budget as an ongoing expense.

We haven't come up with something that we think is viable for us primarily because we're so small as far as the number of staff. Essentially, financial statements are being worked by Michelle Weyland, our CFO, and myself. So we don't have accountants on staff, so that it makes it a little bit harder, and neither one of us are accountants. We're budget analysts essentially. So we can build a budget. But do a financial statement, that's a whole nother world, so we are looking at that. If we can -- if we can afford to purchase it sooner, we will, so that we can get through the next go around for financial statements. Otherwise, we would consider that as one of our software expense.

MEMBER STRASBURG: Bepsy Strasburg. Maybe we CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

```
source that expertise from another agency, may be an option.
1
                             This is Celestena Glover for the
 2
                MS. GLOVER:
             That's a possibility. The financial statement
 3
    expertise may be out there, but PEBP's specific knowledge is
 4
    the drawback because we have two different funds that we have
 5
    to do the financial statements for the retiree fund, seems
 6
    like it would be simpler, but it really isn't. And then we
 7
    have all of OPEBP requirements that have to go into our
8
9
    financial statements that some of the other accountants may
    not have to deal with at the same level. So, we will look at
10
    that, but it's difficult.
11
12
                CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Okay. With no further
13
    discussion, this is an action item. I would entertain a
    motion at this point.
14
15
                MEMBER WEEKS: Stacie Weeks to approve the item.
    What number are we on, 5?
16
                               Sorry, 8.
17
                CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Eight, yes.
                MEMBER WEEKS:
18
                               Eight.
19
                MEMBER STRASBURG: Second. Bepsy Strasburg.
20
                CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Okay. We have a motion and
    a second. Any further discussion? Seeing none, I'll call
21
    for the vote. All those in favor, signify by saying aye.
22
23
                (The vote was unanimously in favor of the
24
    motion.)
                  CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322
```

CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: All those opposed? Okay.

Motion passes.

We will move on to -- we will close out Agenda

Item Number 8 and move on to Agenda Item Number 9.

Acceptance of Claim Technologies Incorporated audit findings
for State of Nevada Public Employees' Benefits Program plans
administered by UMR for the period of January 1st, 2024

through March 31st, 2024. Joni Amato Claim Technology, and
this is for possible action.

MS. AMATO: Hi. Good morning. I'm Joni, J-o-n-i Amato, A-m-a-t-o. The scope of the third quarter audit for 2024 for UMR included medical and dental claims paid during the period of January 1, 2024 through March 31, 2024. The medical and dental claims paid during the quarter three totaled approximately \$70,000,000 and included 223,000 claims. This was a bit of an increase in paid claims from the prior quarter. The audit included four components, the quarterly performance guarantee validation, 100 percent electronic screening with 50 targeted samples, a statistically valid stratified random sample of 200 claims and data analytics.

The results of the random sample audit show that UMR's performance improved from the prior quarter an overall accuracy and claim turnaround time, both, within 14 days and CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

within 30 days.

Performance and financial accuracy decreased from the prior period. The performance guarantees were met for overall accuracy and claim turnaround time with 92 percent processed within 14 days.

The performance guarantees for financial accuracy and claim turnaround time of 99 percent within 30 days were not met. This results in a penalty of 2.5 percent of administrative fees for the quarter or \$33,586.70, but we recommend reviewing the financial errors identified in the random sample audit to ensure that root causes have been identified and if there's any claim process or training that needs to take place that that's done. Any system corrections are made where appropriate.

We also advise follow-up to ensure recovery of any -- of the -- of the identified overpayments identified in the random sample audit. Additionally, we recommend review of the electronic screening and targeted sample results to focus on potential recovery and process improvements, specifically in the categories of incorrect provider discounts supplied, services not provided or I'm sorry, services not prior authorized and duplicate payments.

Thank you for your time. And if you have any questions, I would be happy to take those.

CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

```
CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Okay. Are there any
1
 2
    questions? Okay.
                       Seeing none, do we have UMR on the line?
 3
    Oh, do you want to present anything?
                Okay.
                       This was an item listed for possible
 4
    action. Do we have a motion?
 5
                MEMBER STRASBURG: Motion to accept the audit as
 6
7
    presented.
                                  Janell Woodward.
8
                MEMBER WOODWARD:
                                                     I'll second.
9
                CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: We have a motion and a
10
    second.
             Is there any further discussion?
11
                       Seeing none, I'll call for a vote. All
                Okay.
12
    those in favor, signify by saying aye.
                (The vote was unanimously in favor of the
13
    motion.)
14
15
                CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: All opposed? Motion passes.
                We will close out Agenda Item Number 9 and move
16
    on to Agenda Item Number 10, discussion and acceptance of
17
    Claim Technologies Incorporated audit findings for State of
18
19
    Nevada Public Employees' Benefits Program plans administered
    by Express Scripts for the period of July 1st, 2022 through
20
    June 30th, 2023. Joni Amato, Claim Technologies
21
22
    Incorporated. For possible action.
                MS. AMATO: Hi. Joni Amato for the record.
23
                                                              And
24
    I'm going to turn this over to my colleague Julie Weissmann
                  CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322
```

with PillarRX, and she'll present the findings.

MS. WEISSMANN: Hello, everyone. For the record, my name is Julie, J-u-l-i-e, Weissman, W-e-i-s-s-m-a-n-n, and I'm the audit leader at PillarRX, responsible for all the audit products here. We completed the audit of Express Scripts for fiscal year 2023, which covered the July 1st, 2022 through -- through June 30th, 2023. The audit consisted of a pricing and fees audited and along with that the reconciling of their guarantees, a benefit payment accuracy audit, a rebate review and a performance guarantee review.

The audit period covered approximately 463 paid pharmacy claims with approximately a net paid amount of \$71,000,000. We found the following items during this item of Express Scripts. The reconciliation and pricing and fees portion of the audit showed an amount of approximately \$405,789 in additional under-performance guarantees that were found and were confirmed errors by Express Scripts.

The differences that were found were primarily that the contract inclusion/exclusion for vaccines was not appropriately followed. Vaccine claims should have been included in the guarantees. However, Express Scripts excluded them. This caused both the pricing and dispensing fee dollars to calculate incorrectly, which showed more money due to PEBP.

CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

The benefit payment accuracy audit had no financial or material findings. Express Scripts provided all the appropriate documentation for our initial observations that we found, but we would like to recommend that the reimbursements that were made in 2023 that were brought about and displayed in the audit were actually payback, and you received those monies that were already approved.

The performance guarantee review showed that Express Scripts missed the performance standards on nine separate standards. These have already been agreed to by ESI for a penalty amount of \$763,195.48. The missed targets and penalties again have already been agreed to by ESI, and I have confirmation that they should have already been credited to the PEBP as well.

Lastly, the rebate review of the minimum rebate guarantees found an additional \$5,154,762 and additional guarantees due to PEBP. The additional amount is due to contract interpretation differences. The majority of these differences were because the rebate amount received was less than the calculated rebate amount by PillarRX. PillarRX and ESI have different interpretations of this contract language. ESI states that the guaranteed rebate amount listed in the contract can be prorated based on a day supply amount.

However, it is PillarRX's stance that there is no CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

verbiage or methodology on the contract -- in the contract that allows for prorating of this amount. So at this time, PillarRX stands by their amount of this additional amount money owed to PEBP. Additionally, there's been some back and forth, so this is an item that needs to be discussed, so thank you for your time. And I guess we can go ahead and discuss those questions regarding those rebate guarantees.

CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Okay. Thank you for that.

Are there any questions?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MEMBER STRASBURG: Bepsy Strasburg. Officer Glover, did you have a chance to look at the contract interpretation with married with Express Scripts and our auditor?

This is Celestena Glover for the MS. GLOVER: Yes, we had a pre-meeting with auditors before we record. discussed it with ESI. We dug into the contract to see if there was some language allowing for proration, and we agreed with Pillar and CTI that that language is not there. based on that, the \$5,000,000 is a shortfall in the rebates paid to PEBP.

There has been some e-mails back and forth between PEBP and ESI to potentially amend the contract. Their request for us to go back to July 1st of 2022 for retroactive amendment, which I rapidly said, no, we can't do CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

that. That is not -- you know, we can request permission for a retroactive contract, but it takes some justification and maybe it's a month or two but not two years. So we have not come to necessarily an agreement as to whether or not we even want to amend the contract moving forward because that would reduce our rebates.

And, you know, like the Board, I too have a fiduciary responsibility to the plan to ensure that we are receiving the services we pay for and that we are receiving whatever rebates, provider, overpayments to be refunded, anything of that nature, I need to make sure that I'm doing what's right for the program, for the members of our program and for the State.

MEMBER STRASBURG: So what would be -- Bepsy Strasburg again. What would be the best to resolve this disconnect.

MS. GLOVER: The next step really would be -this is Celestena Glover for the record. Obviously, if the
Board approves this audit the way it is now, then we would
require that ESI refund us and pay the penalties. The
penalty is an offset to current expenses. We are holding the
final payment until we can get this resolved.

But my recommendation is that, yes, we collect the 5,000,000 dollar shortfall in rebates, the 5.154762.98.

CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

That we collect that rebate. If the Board wants us to go 1 2 into negotiation for a potential contract amendment, we can 3 do that or leave things status quo. I'm sure that, you know, ESI will have a different opinion because this is a lot of 4 money, but I don't know if they're on the line to respond to 5 the audit, if anybody has some other comments for that. 6 MEMBER STRASBURG: So I'm confused here. So if we accept the audit, then we're saying that 8 9 the need Express Scripts to give you the five point --\$5,000,000, correct? 10 11 MS. GLOVER: Correct. This is Celestena Glover 12 for the record. Yes, we would collect the penalty, the 13 763,000. We would collect the 5.1, almost 5.2 million dollar rebate and the 400,000, 405,000 overpayment from the 14 15 discounts. So we would be looking at collecting all of that. We know that for the penalty, the 700,000, typically that is 16 done as an offset to a current invoice. And then the --17 because the 5,000,000 really hits our revenue side, not our 18 19 expenditure side, that would be a payment that ESI would need to make to us. 20 21 One other question. MEMBER STRASBURG: Bepsy 22 Strasburg. Is this the first audit with Express Scripts or 23 have we had this type of audits before? 24 MS. GLOVER: This is Celestena Glover for the CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

```
record. ESI has an audit every year. We have several of our
1
 2
    vendors. UMR has quarterly audits. Some of our vendors are
 3
    quarterly. Some of them are annual and some of them
    self-report. So ESI has an additional audit.
 4
                CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Okay. Any further
 5
    questions? Do we have anyone online from ESI that wishes to
 6
 7
    respond?
                MS. LANGLIN: This is Nancy Langlin from ESI for
8
9
    the record. So, yeah, I mean, I pretty much agree with most
    everything that Celestena said. You know, we are actively
10
    trying to negotiate, you know, an amendment to the contract
11
12
    or some type of electronic sign-off in order to be able to
13
    issue the payment for the rebates.
                                        I think it was mentioned
    a few minutes ago that the payments for the pricing
14
15
    guarantees and the performance guarantees had already been
16
    paid at this point, but the rebate payment is still
17
    outstanding. It is a big, you know, chunk of money, and so
    we are hoping to have quick resolution to be able to pay out
18
19
    PEBP for the additional monies due.
                CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Okay, thank you.
20
21
                Any further discussion? This item is for
22
    possible action. I will take a motion at this point.
                MEMBER MCCLENDON: This is Jennifer McClendon.
23
                                                                 Ι
24
    move to accept CTI's audit of ESI.
                  CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322
```

```
MEMBER STRASBURG: Bepsy Strasburg.
1
                                                      Second.
                CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER:
                                     Okay. We have a motion and
 2
 3
               Is there any further discussion? Seeing none,
 4
    I'll call for the vote. All those in favor, signify by
 5
    saying aye.
                (The vote was unanimously in favor of the
 6
7
    motion.)
8
                CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: All those opposed?
                                                          Motion
9
    passes.
                We will close Agenda Item Number 10 and move on
10
    to agenda Item Number 11. Public comment period. Public
11
12
    comment will be taken during this agenda item. Comments are
13
    limited to three minutes per person.
                MR. HOPKINS: Madam Chair, we have one on Zoom
14
                I'll get the slide up and make the announcement.
15
    right now.
16
                CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER:
                                     Thank you.
17
                MR. HOPKINS:
                              It looks like they just dropped
18
    off, but I'll make the quick announcement just in case.
19
                CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER:
                                     Okay.
                              I'll leave the slide up for a
20
                MR. HOPKINS:
             For those who have joined for public comment, your
21
    minute.
22
    name or last four digits of your phone number will be
23
    announced. You'll be advised you've been unmuted. Please
24
    slowly state and spell your name for the record, and proceed
                  CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322
```

1	with your comments.
2	Madam Chair, that concludes public comment.
3	CHAIRWOMAN GRIMMER: Okay. Seeing no more public
4	comment in Carson City or online, we will close public
5	comment, Agenda Item Number 11.
6	And we will adjourn. Thank you for everyone's
7	time. Thank you.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

1	STATE OF NEVADA, )
2	CARSON CITY. )
3	
4	I, KATHY JACKSON, Official Court Reporter for the
5	State of Nevada, Public Employees' Benefits Program Board, do
6	hereby certify:
7	That on Thursday, the 25th day of July, 2024, I was
8	present on Zoom for the Public Employees' Benefits Program,
9	Carson City, Nevada, for the purpose of reporting in verbatim
10	stenotype notes the within-entitled public meeting;
11	That the foregoing transcript, consisting of pages 1
12	through 55, is a full, true and correct transcription of my
13	stenotype notes of said public meeting.
14	
15	Dated at Carson City, Nevada, this 5th day
16	of August, 2024.
17	
18	
19	KATHY JACKSON, CCR
20	Nevada CCR #402
21	
22	
23	
24	CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

	6:2,5;10:24;12:12;	38:8;39:8;41:20;	annual (1)	15;48:1,6;50:19;
\$	14:16;15:12;38:9;	43:1	52:3	51:6,8,22;52:1,4,24
	43:13;44:9;46:5,22;	Agenda (32)	answered (1)	audited (1)
<b>\$13</b> (1)	52:22	6:1,2,4,20;10:1,2,3,	6:7	47:8
9:1	actively (2)	8,22,23,23;11:14,14;	anticipating (1)	auditor (5)
<b>\$15</b> (1)	30:7;52:10	15:7,8,13,17,18;	34:19	12:11;13:12,14;
9:1	actives (1)	16:16,17;19:20,20;	apologize (1)	14:4;49:13
<b>\$161</b> (1)	7:15	38:6,7;44:3,4;46:16,	15:14	auditors (3)
22:14	activities (1)	17;53:10,11,12;54:5	applicable (1)	13:18;14:8;49:15
<b>\$181</b> (1)	16:22	ago (3)	10:2	audits (3)
22:15	actual (1)	8:8;20:14;52:14	applies (2)	13:21;51:23;52:2
<b>\$20 (2)</b>	38:22	agree (2)	14:13;24:11	authorized (1)
22:19,19	actually (4)	38:3;52:9	approach (1)	45:22
\$33,586.70(1)	23:22;29:19;33:24;	agreed (4)	36:12	available (1)
45:9	48:6	18:12;48:10,12;	appropriate (2)	10:14
<b>\$405,789</b> (1)	actuarial (10)	49:17	45:14;48:3	average (8)
47:16	20:19,21;21:2,18;	agreement (2)	appropriately (1)	21:3,8;25:17,18,
\$5,000,000 (2)	24:5;33:15;35:5,8,12,	13:10;50:4	47:20	21;26:4;32:18;33:8
49:19;51:10	19	ahead (6)	approval (3)	avoided (1)
\$5,154,762 (1)	actuary (1)	9:3;11:24;12:15;	12:18;14:22;19:17	41:14
48:16	14:1	13:6;31:11;49:6	approve (4)	away (3)
\$70,000,000 (1)	acuity (1)	allow (2)	11:13;14:20;41:19;	19:14;22:21;23:3
44:15	26:9	10:8;39:8	43:15	aye (6)
<b>\$71,000,000</b> (1)	add (1)	allowing (1)	approved (1)	11:19;12:24;15:2;
47:13	25:6	49:17	48:7	43:22;46:12;53:5
<b>\$763,195.48</b> (1)	added (1)	allows (1)	approves (1)	D
48:11	29:22	49:2	50:19	В
	additional (12)	almost (1)	approximately (4)	1 1 (0)
$\mathbf{A}$	10:19,21;24:1;	51:13	44:15;47:11,12,15	back (8)
	25:6;39:19;47:16;	along (3)	area (1)	9:23;14:12;17:17;
able (7)	48:16,16,17;49:3;	8:23;23:10;47:8	19:16	30:20;31:6;49:4,21,
7:21;8:9;9:6;	52:4,19	always (2)	around (4)	23
17:22;18:14;52:12,	Additionally (2)	29:2;31:10	14:8,17;39:6;42:22	background (1)
18	45:17;49:4	Amato (6)	arrangement (1)	38:12
abreast (1)	address (1)	44:8,10,11;46:21,	8:24	<b>Bailey</b> (1)
19:15	41:12	23,23	aside (1)	14:4 Parrag (7)
absent (1)	<b>adjourn (1)</b> 54:6	<b>A-m-a-t-o (1)</b> 44:11	23:5	Barnes (7)
5:10	administered (2)	amend (2)	aspects (1) 33:14	5:11,12;12:17,17; 14:21,21;15:10
abstaining (1)	44:7;46:19	49:22;50:5	assess (1)	base (2)
12:3	Administrative (2)	amendment (3)	32:11	36:11;38:17
abstention (2)	15:9;45:9	49:24;51:2;52:11	assign (1)	based (7)
10:16;13:5	adverse (2)	amount (11)	31:16	31:18,23;39:9,21;
accept (3)	30:4;36:4	47:12,15;48:11,17,	assigned (1)	41:20;48:23;49:19
46:6;51:8;52:24	advise (1)	19,20,22,23;49:2,3,3	32:7	basis (4)
Acceptance (2)	45:15	amounts (1)	assist (1)	23:2;26:2;36:10,14
44:5;46:17	advised (2)	41:14	17:4	become (1)
access (1)	6:23;53:23	Amure (1)	assistance (1)	18:12
9:10	advisement (1)	9:19	14:3	becomes (2)
account (6)	6:7	analysis (2)	assistant (1)	30:11;41:13
32:3;33:23;39:13,	advocacy (1)	20:9;32:3	18:5	behalf (4)
23;40:8;41:10	8:23	analysts (1)	associated (1)	7:15,21;10:9,11
accountants (3)	affect (3)	42:18	32:2	behind (1)
42:16,17;43:9	10:14;19:11;36:24	analytics (1)	attending (3)	27:21
accounts (3)	afford (1)	44:21	18:4,6,7	below (1)
17:5,9;39:12	42:20	analyzed (1)	Attorney (1)	28:12
<b>accuracy (6)</b> 44:24;45:2,4,6;	again (9)	31:15	10:3	beneficial (1)
44:24;45:2,4,6; 47:9;48:1	16:2,19;18:22;	announce (1)	attributed (1)	35:9
47:9;48:1 across (3)	35:14;38:1;39:12,18;	18:11	8:2	Benefit (14)
21:4;32:17;36:23	48:12;50:15	announced (2)	audit (26)	5:4;20:20;23:6;
21:4;32:17;30:23 acted (2)	age (3)	6:23;53:23	13:15,19;44:5,11,	24:8,20;25:9,12;
10:24;29:13	25:20;26:4,6	announcement (2)	17,22;45:11,17;46:6,	26:19;27:2;32:21;
10:24;29:15 action (12)	agency (4)	53:15,18	18;47:4,5,5,7,10,11,	41:8,21;47:9;48:1
activii (14 <i>)</i>		<b>1</b>	. , , , , , -, -,	, , , ,

Benefits (12)	7;41:11	9;22:23;23:9,23;	chunk (1)	comparing (1)
8:12,15,20;10:10,	build (2)	24:2,10,15;25:23;	52:17	23:12
12,13,14,17;12:6;	40:8;42:18	29:10;32:16;33:7;	CITY (5)	comparison (1)
13:8;44:6;46:19	building (1)	37:11,12,13,23	5:1;6:11,14;18:8;	34:16
Bepsy (14)	19:12	Celestena (17)	54:4	completed (1)
5:17;11:3;12:9;	business (1)	13:7;15:16;16:17,	Claim (8)	47:5
15:10;16:9;24:18; 41:24;42:24;43:19;	40:14 <b>buy-up (1)</b>	19;18:23;29:8;31:5; 37:10;38:9,10;42:4;	44:5,8,24;45:4,7, 12;46:18,21	<b>complications (2)</b> 31:24;32:5
49:10;50:14;51:7,21;	36:12	43:2;49:14;50:18;	claims (12)	components (1)
53:1	30.12	51:11,24;52:10	25:8;31:14,16,22;	44:17
best (4)	C	certain (1)	39:18;44:12,14,16,	composite (1)
34:12,15;35:1;		35:19	16,20;47:12,20	20:20
50:15	calculate (1)	CFO (1)	cleanup (1)	computer (1)
better (9)	47:23	42:15	17:19	9:11
13:17,23;14:10;	calculated (1)	Chair (13)	close (13)	concern (2)
34:6;35:19;38:19;	48:20	5:8;6:12,16;7:10,	7:23;10:1,22;15:7;	8:16,18
39:2;40:24;42:8 <b>biennium (2)</b>	call (11) 5:6;11:18;12:23;	16;9:22;10:5;15:8, 23,24;20:3;53:14;	16:16;19:20;22:23; 38:6;39:19;44:3;	<b>concerned (1)</b> 14:1
38:9,17	15:1;16:12;21:2;	54:2	46:16;53:10;54:4	concludes (1)
big (2)	22:15;29:14;43:21;	Chairman (1)	closed (1)	54:2
30:2;52:17	46:11;53:4	16:8	16:23	condition (3)
biggest (1)	Caller (4)	Chairperson (1)	closing (1)	27:1,24;28:11
17:15	7:6;9:13,16,19	6:9	9:5	conditions (5)
bit (6)	calling (1)	CHAIRWOMAN (40)	code (1)	26:10;27:24;28:4,
8:1;12:10;20:9;	33:13	5:3,9,24;6:14;9:24;	15:9	10;31:18
22:3;42:17;44:16	calls (4)	10:7,21;11:7,16,22;	codes (1)	conference (2)
Bittleston (1) 18:12	17:2,4,21,23 <b>can (29)</b>	12:4,13,19,22;13:3,6; 14:18,24;15:5;16:5,	31:19 co-insurance (1)	40:22;41:23 confidential (1)
blend (2)	14:14;17:11;23:9;	10,15;19:18,24;38:5;	21:6	41:11
29:15;36:23	24:2;26:8,17;33:14;	43:12,17,20;44:1;	colleague (1)	confidentiality (1)
Board (37)	34:13,16;35:7,8,11;	46:1,9,15;49:8;52:5,	46:24	41:16
6:6,19;7:10,11,17;	36:14,21;39:19;	20;53:2,8,16,19;54:3	collect (4)	confirmation (1)
8:4;9:2;10:2,3,6,10,	40:23,24,24;41:1;	challenging (2)	50:23;51:1,12,13	48:13
11,16,18;11:2;13:19;	42:8,18,20,20,21;	35:16;38:20	collecting (1)	confirmed (1)
15:8,14,19,22;16:21;	48:23;49:6;50:1,22;	chance (1)	51:15	47:17
18:2,13;20:4,7,16;	51:2	49:11	color (1)	conflicts (1)
21:24;22:11,15; 24:23;29:24;31:13;	cancer (3) 26:14,17,20	change (4) 17:8;25:1;30:8;	22:6 column (1)	10:9 confused (1)
37:2;41:9;50:7,19;	candidates (1)	38:16	9:7	51:7
51:1	15:10	changed (1)	combination (2)	consent (3)
both (5)	capability (1)	38:18	34:8;40:7	10:23,24;11:13
16:21;17:13;23:22;	41:23	changes (6)	comfortable (1)	consider (3)
44:24;47:22	capita (2)	8:15;17:19;19:7,	8:11	9:2;25:3;42:23
bottom (2)	23:1;33:15	16;20:12;39:2	coming (1)	consideration (3)
21:11;34:5	care (1)	changing (1)	7:23	24:23;25:2,6
<b>breakout (1)</b> 17:10	33:19 CARSON (5)	41:8 channel (1)	<b>comment (19)</b> 6:1,2,10,18,21;7:5,	considered (5) 10:24;11:1;14:17;
bring (1)	5:1;6:11,14;18:8;	6:19	8,17;9:15,18,21,22;	20:12;24:24
35:8	54:4	characterize (2)	30:14;53:11,12,21;	considering (1)
bringing (2)	case (2)	34:12;36:11	54:2,4,5	8:13
31:4,5	37:3;53:18	chart (1)	comments (7)	consisted (1)
broader (1)	caseload (1)	17:9	6:6,8;7:1;15:15;	47:7
35:9	39:9	checking (1)	51:6;53:12;54:1	consistent (2)
brought (1)	catastrophic (4)	18:20	common (4)	27:17;37:23
48:5 <b>budget (16)</b>	22:11,17;40:1,3 categories (2)	children (2) 26:3,3	26:23,24;27:6,7 comparable (1)	consistently (2) 22:22,22
38:8,11,17,24;39:4,	40:2;45:20	choose (2)	24:6	contact (1)
6;40:7,9,17;41:13,20;	caused (1)	8:19;35:4	comparably (2)	18:4
42:2,8,10,18,18	47:22	Chris (1)	22:23;23:10	contacted (1)
budgeted (2)	causes (1)	7:3	comparative (1)	15:21
38:21;39:22	45:11	chronic (6)	34:15	continue (4)
budgets (5)	CDHP (18)	26:9;27:23,24;	compared (1)	14:7;15:23;25:2;
19:12;38:13;39:6,	17:14;20:17;21:1,	28:4,10,11	17:12	30:12
-				

continued (1)	credited (1)	determine (3)	34:19	election (2)
23:20	48:13	31:17;36:7;38:22	dispensing (1)	15:8,15
continues (2)	CTI (1)	determined (1)	47:22	electronic (3)
8:18;25:1	49:18	36:9	displayed (1)	44:19;45:18;52:12
continuing (4)	CTI's (1)	develop (1)	48:6	eligible (7)
20:3;24:9;27:14;	52:24	38:13	doctor (1)	10:10,12,12,17;
32:4	current (5)	development (2)	30:19	15:9,14,20
contract (13)	15:24;19:21;25:3;	38:8;40:14	documentation (1)	else (2)
47:19;48:18,21,23;	50:21;51:17	diabetic (4)	48:3	11:7;41:2
49:1,1,11,16,22;50:2,	currently (2)	31:22,23;32:1,2	dollar (12)	e-mail (1)
5;51:2;52:11	7:23;8:11	diabetics (1)	22:15,18;24:11,13;	9:10
contracts (2)	cut (2)	32:5	36:10,10,14,14;40:6;	e-mails (1)
30:24;37:4	39:6,8	diagnostic (1)	41:14;50:24;51:13	49:21
contribution (1)	Cyberson (1)	31:18	dollars (2)	emotionally (1)
41:3	7:3	difference (6)	40:5;47:23	7:24
contributor (2)		22:19;24:8,8;	done (5)	Employee (16)
25:12;28:8	D	36:10,13,15	14:11,12,13;45:13;	5:4;21:10,11;22:1,
contributors (1)		differences (9)	51:17	10,17;23:6;26:18;
25:11	data (4)	33:17,18,23;34:16,	down (2)	27:2;32:21;36:7;
control (3)	31:22,23,24;44:21	17,17;47:18;48:18,19	29:10;37:24	37:19,20,23;40:19;
8:3;26:18;29:5	day (1)	different (7)	drawback (1)	41:4
controller's (1)	48:23	20:20;32:12;34:14;	43:5	Employees (7)
14:7	days (4)	35:12;43:5;48:21;	driven (2)	7:13,14,15;22:12,
controlling (1)	44:24;45:1,5,7	51:4	24:4;27:19	19;26:20;27:22
26:17	deal (1)	differential (1)	driver (1)	Employees' (4)
co-pays (1)	43:10	24:7	26:14	13:8;21:21;44:6;
21:6	December (1)	difficult (1)	drivers (1)	46:19
corrections (1)	19:8	43:11	25:14	employer (1)
45:13	decided (2)	difficulties (1)	driving (1)	41:3
cost (27)	22:11,16	8:5	31:2	end (3)
7:23;21:7;23:1,5;	decline (1)	difficulty (2)	dropped (1)	30:20;38:4;39:17
24:8;25:7,12,16;28:3,	24:2	8:1,13	53:17	ended (1)
6,20,23;30:9,17,20,	decreased (1)	digits (5)	due (4)	39:16
22;33:1,4,8,19,20,22;	45:2	6:22;7:6;9:13,16;	47:24;48:17,17;	enhance (1)
34:1;35:3,7,9,18	deductible (21)	53:22	52:19	41:23
costs (16)	17:13;20:18;21:12;	direction (2)	dug (1)	enhancement (3)
20:23;21:4;25:8;	22:4,23;23:9,23,24;	39:4,5	49:16	40:21;42:3,5
26:17;28:8;29:5,19;	24:6,11,13;25:10,19;	director (1)	duplicate (1)	<b>Enhancements (4)</b>
30:12;32:9,11,20;	26:5;27:19;29:22;	7:12	45:22	40:10,11,18;41:21
33:15;34:9,11,18;	30:18;32:15,23;33:6;	disclosure (2)	during (11)	enjoy (1)
36:10	34:7	10:9,13	6:2,7;11:5;17:22;	20:3
counties (1)	deductibles (1)	disclosures (3)	19:11;31:6;41:7;	enroll (1)
21:15	21:6	10:2,19,22	44:12,14;47:13;	17:9
counts (1)	definitely (2)	disconnect (1)	53:12	enrollment (14)
17:18	39:11;41:4	50:16	-	16:23,24;17:6,7,10,
couple (10)	demographic (2)	discounts (2)	$\mathbf{E}$	11,12,12;23:18,22;
8:14;20:12;23:2,	25:15;26:6	45:21;51:15		24:3;30:8;39:10,13
19,21;25:5,14;27:16;	dental (3)	discretion (2)	Earlier (2)	ensure (3)
33:11;34:20	39:18;44:12,14	6:9;37:7	26:13;41:24	45:11,15;50:8
course (2)	dependents (1)	discuss (2)	economic (1)	entertain (4)
39:14;41:11	17:11	16:22;49:7	40:13	11:8,9;18:2;43:13
cover (2)	Deputy (1)	discussed (2)	education (1)	entire (1)
22:16;39:24	10:3	49:5,16	40:13	32:17
coverage (8)	design (11)	discussing (1)	efficiency (3)	EPO (33)
22:2;24:13;26:1;	19:6,6;20:11;23:6;	31:6	33:14;34:6,6	17:14,15;20:18;
27:14,15,20,22;28:20	25:9,12;32:21;33:17;	discussion (19)	efficient (4)	21:13,15,17,19,22;
covered (2)	34:17;41:8,21	11:11,17;12:23;	33:19;34:2,4,7	22:5,14,21,24;23:3,
47:6,11	designs (1)	14:19;15:1;16:11,18;	efficiently (1)	20,23;24:2,5;26:5,7;
CRANE (8)	20:17	19:22;23:16;24:24;	33:21	27:14,19;28:9,11;
5:7,10,13,15,17,19,	desire (2)	38:7;41:5,14;43:13,	eight (3)	29:11,20,21;30:11;
21;18:4	29:12,23	21;46:10,17;52:21;	31:13;43:17,18	32:14,20,23;33:2;
creating (1)	detail (1)	53:3	either (1)	34:3;36:19
35:18	19:9	discussions (1)	39:5	equipment (2)
-	1	II.	1	1

ZOOM/TELEPHONIC	MEETING NOTICE A	ND AGENDA		July 25, 2024
40-22-41-22	(4)	12.22	16	50.17.10.51.11.11
40:22;41:22	expertise (4)	13:22	16	50:17,18;51:11,11,
errors (2)	13:12,13;43:1,4	fill (1)	foundation (3)	24,24
45:10;47:17	expired (1)	39:10	20:8,11;34:18	gonna (2)
ESI (14)	18:14	filled (1)	four (15)	28:19;39:22
48:10,12,21,22;	exploring (1)	8:4	6:22;7:6;9:13,16;	Good (12)
49:16,22;50:20;51:4,	37:7	final (4)	20:10;24:21;28:15,	5:3,7;7:9;9:4;13:7;
19;52:1,4,6,8,24	exposure (1)	13:15;17:17,18;	19,21;29:3,6,9;30:21;	16:19;18:6,13;19:23,
especially (5)	24:11	50:22	44:17;53:22	24;20:11;44:10
8:18,22;9:9;27:4,9	Express (10)	Finance (1)	four-month (1)	government (1)
essentially (4)	46:20;47:5,14,17,	38:15	7:22	40:15
39:4;41:19;42:14,	21;48:2,9;49:12;	financial (15)	framework (2)	Governor (1)
18	51:9,22	12:6;13:10,15,17;	38:7,12	41:12
Even (5)	expressed (1)	42:1,14,19,22;43:3,6,	French (2)	Governor's (4)
13:12;29:18;33:7;	20:22	9;45:2,6,10;48:2	7:19;8:6	38:14,15;40:12;
35:16;50:4	Extend (1)	financially (1)	frightening (1)	41:13
everybody (3)	8:14	7:24	8:13	graphs (1)
19:23;20:5;36:12	external (1)	<b>find</b> (1)	fruit (1)	24:1
everyone (3)	12:11	9:6	34:15	gray (3)
5:3;28:23;47:2	12111	finding (1)	full (1)	22:5;23:8;25:20
everyone's (1)	$\mathbf{F}$	24:14	36:13	great (1)
54:6	-	findings (4)	fund (2)	16:3
example (1)	facing (1)	44:5;46:18;47:1;	12:6;43:6	greater (2)
31:21	7:22	48:2	funded (1)	25:24;34:6
			22:20	
Excel (1)	fact (1)	first (4)		green (1)
42:7	8:10	24:10,13;38:17;	funding (2)	23:8
except (1)	factor (1)	51:22	39:19;40:19	GRIMMER (44)
11:14	31:17	fiscal (2)	funds (2)	5:3,8,9,24;6:14;
exception (1)	factors (1)	13:20;47:6	22:12;43:5	7:10,16;9:24;10:7,
22:8	34:14	five (2)	further (14)	21;11:7,16,22;12:4,
excess (1)	fairly (4)	23:15;51:9	11:11,17;12:23;	13,19,22;13:3,6;
39:23	24:6;27:16,17;	flat (4)	14:18;15:1;16:11;	14:18,24;15:5;16:5,
Exchange (4)	37:20	22:9;39:4,6,7	19:9;41:14;43:12,21;	10,15;19:18,24;20:4;
7:20;8:8,10;35:6	families (2)	flavor (1)	46:10;52:5,21;53:3	38:5;43:12,17,20;
excluded (1)	10:12;30:18	27:12	future (3)	44:1;46:1,9,15;49:8;
47:22	family (6)	focus (4)	6:4;8:5;30:24	52:5,20;53:2,8,16,19;
excuse (1)	10:15,17;22:3;	34:23;40:18;41:2;		54:3
32:14	27:14,15,22	45:19	G	group (5)
excused (1)	Fandango (1)	focusing (1)		8:23;29:5;30:2,2,6
11:5	18:8	22:1	game (1)	growth (1)
Executive (6)	far (3)	folks (6)	13:21	40:13
7:10,12;16:17,20;	13:10;14:1;42:13	28:24;29:18;30:9,	General (2)	guarantee (3)
18:5;20:13	favor (14)	16;31:2;35:4	10:3;17:21	44:18;47:10;48:8
existing (1)	11:18,20;12:24;	followed (1)	generally (4)	guaranteed (1)
41:21	13:1;15:2,3;16:12,	47:20	22:7;25:15;28:2;	48:22
expectation (1)	13;43:22,23;46:12,	following (1)	33:4	guarantees (10)
39:21	13,43.22,23,40.12,	47:13	gets (2)	45:3,6;47:9,16,21;
			0 , ,	
expected (2)	fee (1)	follow-up (1)	36:12,12	48:16,17;49:7;52:15,
20:22;32:11	47:23	45:15	GFO (2)	15
expecting (2)	fees (3)	forcing (1)	38:14;41:6	guess (1)
33:2;40:20	45:9;47:8,14	39:24	given (2)	49:6
expenditure (2)	fellow (1)	formal (1)	24:19;34:16	guests (1)
40:2;51:19	7:10	16:5	gives (1)	7:11
expense (2)	few (8)	former (2)	17:10	guys (2)
42:11,23	6:12;14:9;17:18;	8:4;18:13	glad (1)	18:10;19:15
expenses (2)	18:17;19:13;20:13;	forth (2)	39:7	
38:22;50:21	28:18;52:14	49:5,21	Glover (38)	H
expensive (4)	fewer (1)	forum (1)	7:10;9:6;12:9;13:7,	
23:3;25:18;29:16,	26:2	18:3	7;14:15;15:16,16;	half (1)
21	fiduciary (1)	forward (6)	16:18,19,20;18:23,	40:4
experienced (1)	50:8	9:9;19:7;32:10;	23;20:13;29:8,8;	hallmarks (2)
39:15	figure (1)	38:22;39:3;50:5	31:4,5;37:10,10,16,	32:22,24
expert (1)	19:2	found (5)	22;38:9,10,10;42:4,4;	handle (1)
13:14	figuring (1)	47:13,17,18;48:4,	43:2,2;49:11,14,14;	42:8
13.11		17.13,17,10,70.7,	13.2,2,17.11,17,17,	12.0

-	1	I	I .	
happen (1)	HMO's (2)	incorrectly (1)	8:2,7;17:23	kept (1)
39:22	29:10;37:11	47:23	Item (37)	37:20
happening (1)	hold (1)	increase (9)	5:24;6:1,2,3,4;	kind (6)
24:20	22:2	22:10,12,13,15,16,	10:1,2,22,23;11:1,24;	23:9,10;34:15,23;
happy (4)	holding (1)	18;33:3;39:11;44:16	12:5,12;14:20;15:7,8,	36:24;41:16
8:3;15:23;18:11;	50:21	increased (1)	11;16:16,17;19:20,	knowledge (2)
45:24	Hometown (2)	27:16	20;38:6,7;43:13,15;	13:13;43:4
				-
hard (2)	29:10,11	increases (3)	44:4,4;46:4,16,17;	known (1)
28:20,22	hope (2)	22:7;39:23;40:20	47:13;49:5;52:21;	8:15
harder (1)	8:22;9:2	increasing (2)	53:10,11,12;54:5	Kunnel (3)
42:17	hopeful (2)	33:9;39:12	items (8)	10:4,5,8
Health (22)	8:19;9:5	indicate (1)	10:3,14,23;11:8,10,	
8:14,24;9:6;12:5;	hopefully (2)	31:24	14,14;47:13	$\mathbf{L}$
21:12,20;22:4;23:9;	8:5;14:9	indicator (2)		
24:13;25:10,13;26:5;	hoping (1)	28:1;32:8	J	lack (2)
28:1,7,8;29:10,11;	52:18	inflation (2)		8:2;39:2
31:15,17;32:15,22;	HOPKINS (6)	39:8,14	Janell (6)	LAIRD (2)
40:14	6:12,16;9:12;	information (8)	5:15;11:15;14:23;	7:9,12
healthcare (2)	53:14,17,20	13:23,24;14:10;	15:11;18:19;46:8	L-a-i-r-d (1)
21:4;30:17	hospitalized (1)	16:18,21;19:22;23:4;	January (2)	7:12
hear (2)	32:6	25:6	44:7,13	Langlin (2)
11:24;12:15	HPN (1)	infrastructure (1)	Jennifer (4)	52:8,8
held (1)	29:10	40:15	5:13;12:20;15:11;	language (3)
22:8		initial (3)	52:23	48:21;49:17,18
Hello (1)	I	17:23;19:6;48:3	Jessica (2)	larger (3)
47:2		initially (1)	18:4,24	22:10;24:7;29:4
help (1)	idea (1)	29:9	Jim (4)	last (16)
13:17	35:1	in-person (1)	5:11;12:17;14:21;	6:22;7:6;9:13,16;
helpful (1)	ideas (3)	18:7	15:10	11:5;23:2,19,21;
34:13	19:6,10;38:3	instead (1)	jives (1)	24:24;27:16;30:14;
helps (1)	identified (4)	42:9	23:4	33:11,12;38:16;
40:19	45:10,12,16,16	interest (2)	<b>job</b> (1)	39:16;53:22
Hi (3)	identify (1)	10:9;19:3	16:3	Lastly (1)
19:23;44:10;46:23	31:22	interested (3)	jobs (1)	48:15
high (5)	IFC (1)	8:21;18:5,24	18:17	late (1)
24:11;28:20;33:21,	39:19	internally (2)	joined (3)	13:21
22;38:11	imagine (1)	13:11;14:10	6:20,21;53:21	later (2)
higher (33)	28:21	internet (1)	Joni (4)	8:14;29:23
8:22;21:6,18,19,20,	impacting (1)	8:17	44:8,10;46:21,23	law (1)
22;22:22,22;25:16,	2	interpretation (2)		10:15
	26:20		J-o-n-i (1)	
22;26:4,6;27:21;	implemented (1)	48:18;49:12	44:10	lead (2)
28:2,6,10,23;30:9,18;	8:8	interpretations (1)	Julie (2)	26:3;36:14
32:7,15,16,16,20,21,	important (1)	48:21	46:24;47:3	leader (1)
22;33:9,20,22;35:5;	9:10	interrupting (1)	<b>J-u-l-i-e</b> (1)	47:4
36:16;37:12;39:10	improved (1)	31:11	47:3	leads (2)
highest (3)	44:23	intervene (1)	<b>JULY</b> (6)	33:3,8
22:6;23:5,6	improvements (1)	7:21	5:1,4;18:16;46:20;	leanest (1)
hire (1)	45:19	into (12)	47:6;49:23	21:9
13:14	improving (1)	7:22;8:14;17:13;	June (2)	least (4)
hired (1)	9:5	18:3;30:5;34:14;	46:21;47:7	9:1;24:24;28:11;
13:12	include (2)	39:13;40:8;41:10;	justification (1)	34:4
	41:21;42:10	43:8;49:16;51:2	50:2	leave (2)
history (1)			30.2	* *
22:3	included (6)	introduced (1)	<b>T</b> 7	51:3;53:20
hit (2)	6:4;42:5;44:12,15,	22:4	K	leaving (1)
17:15;40:1	17;47:21	investigating (1)		27:21
hits (1)	includes (2)	42:6	keep (6)	left (2)
51:18	17:11;40:13	invite (1)	19:12,15,17;29:12,	24:1;25:20
hitting (1)	inclusion/exclusion (1)	10:18	17;37:19	legislative (1)
40:4	47:19	invoice (1)	keeping (2)	19:17
HMO (13)	Incorporated (3)	51:17	24:21;38:2	legislature (3)
20:18;21:13,14,17;	44:5;46:18,22	issue (2)	Kelley (5)	8:23;38:3;41:7
		7:21;52:13		
23:6;29:12,13,15,15,	incorrect (1)		5:10;15:10,21;	Leslie (2)
17,22;32:14;37:13	45:20	issues (3)	16:2,8	18:11;20:2
	1	1	1	<u> </u>

ZOOM TEEET HOINE	THEE THIS HOTTEE H	TIGE TELEVISION	I	5 tally 20, 2021
less (8)	lower (6)	15:11;52:23,23	mentioned (4)	9:1,1;17:22;23:13;
21:7;29:3,16,21;	33:4,8;34:5,5,10;	mean (2)	20:13;24:19;42:1;	50:3
30:16,20,21;48:19	36:15	28:20;52:9	52:13	months (4)
level (3)	lowest (3)	Meaning (1)	mentioning (2)	14:9;19:13;20:13;
38:11;41:15;43:10	21:10;25:20;34:1	21:4	33:1;37:8	34:20
levels (1)	21110,20120,0111	means (2)	merely (1)	morbidity (2)
9:5	M	21:3;34:5	10:16	28:1,7
leveraged (1)	172	meant (1)	merit (1)	more (13)
36:15	Madam (6)	32:8	35:23	25:18;26:6;27:20;
likely (1)	6:12,16;9:22;10:5;	measure (1)	met (2)	29:13,19;30:9,9;35:4,
28:6	53:14;54:2	34:4	45:3,8	9,16;37:24;47:23;
limited (2)	mailed (1)	measurement (1)	methodology (4)	54:3
6:8;53:13	9:7	26:2		morning (8)
			31:16;36:7;37:2; 49:1	5:3,7;7:9;13:7;
line (5)	maintain (1)	measuring (1) 33:13		
14:14;25:20;34:5;	41:15		metric (1) 34:15	16:19;19:23,24;
46:2;51:5	major (2)	medical (4)		44:10
link (1)	25:11;40:17	31:24;39:17;44:12,	Michelle (6)	morphed (1)
6:20	majority (2)	14	5:10;15:10,21;	8:14
list (1)	17:23;48:18	Medicare (2)	16:2,8;42:15	most (9)
19:1	makes (2)	7:19;8:8	middle (4)	8:10;23:3;26:18;
listed (2)	15:19;42:16	medications (1)	21:13;22:5;23:23;	28:8;33:19;34:1,7,
46:4;48:22	making (2)	31:20	29:24	23;52:9
little (6)	40:19;41:3	meet (1)	might (10)	mostly (1)
12:10;20:9;22:3;	managed (3)	40:11	7:21;8:2;19:16;	7:14
25:23;35:4;42:16	17:2;31:22;32:1	meeting (14)	24:15;30:6;35:1,4,7,	motion (32)
live (1)	managing (2)	5:4;6:7,18,20;10:3;	20;38:19	11:1,9,9,12,16,21,
6:18	33:19,21	11:5;17:24;18:1,21;	migrating (2)	23;12:16,22;13:2,3;
lives (1)	mandatory (1)	19:5,8,9;31:9;41:1	24:15;34:9	14:19,24;15:4,5;16:6,
28:16	17:7	meetings (2)	migration (5)	10,14,16;43:14,20,
loaded (1)	manner (1)	40:23;41:8	17:13;24:19;25:9;	24;44:2;46:5,6,9,14,
21:19	33:19	<b>MEMBER (79)</b>	27:18;32:23	15;52:22;53:2,7,8
lobby (2)	many (6)	5:12,14,16,18,20;	Mike (1)	move (15)
7:14;8:23	8:16;19:1;26:3;	7:20;8:4;9:7;10:16,	14:4	5:24;10:22;11:13;
longer (1)	29:23;30:2;35:15	17,18;11:3,13,15;	million (2)	12:17;14:21;16:7,16;
14:13	March (2)	12:2,8,17,20;13:5;	40:5;51:13	19:20;33:6;38:6;
look (8)	44:8,13	14:15,21,23;15:14;	mind (5)	44:3,4;46:16;52:24;
9:2,9;13:20;32:4;	marching (1)	16:1,4,7,9,24;17:2,	19:12,17;23:17;	53:10
37:16;38:1;43:10;	38:13	21;18:13,19;21:7;	30:17;38:2	movement (3)
49:11	married (1)	24:16,18;25:4,21;	minimum (1)	23:12,20;33:7
looked (1)	49:12	26:2,8,13,16,24;27:2,	48:15	moving (7)
7:17	material (3)	5,8,11;28:14,16,18;	minus (1)	12:5;23:15,22;
looking (13)	12:10;13:8;48:2	29:2;30:13;31:8,10,	21:5	27:21;30:9;31:13;
13:16,22;14:16;	materials (5)	14,17;32:10;34:21;	minute (1)	50:5
34:4;37:3;38:23;	20:6,16;21:24;	35:24;36:4,8,18,21;	53:21	much (10)
39:7;40:4,5,10;42:7,	31:13;38:4	37:5,9,15,21;41:24;	minutes (4)	7:9,16;8:2,13;
20;51:15	math (1)	42:24;43:15,18,19;	6:8;20:13;52:14;	17:20;21:18,19;
looks (2)	22:13	46:6,8;49:10;50:14;	53:13	32:21,21;52:9
39:6;53:17	matter (2)	51:7,21;52:23;53:1	miss (1)	multiple (1)
lot (8)	6:3,4	members (23)	14:5	35:11
	*	` ,		
13:24;14:11;17:4;	matters (1)	7:11,18;9:8,9;	missed (2)	myself (1)
19:3;30:17;35:23;	9:7	10:10,11,15;16:21;	48:9,11	42:15
40:10;51:4	may (23)	17:4;18:3;21:4,5;	mitigate (1)	N.T
loud (1)	6:2,5,8;7:17;10:14;	28:11;29:23;31:1;	22:12	N
35:2	14:5;16:23;17:18,18,	34:8,24,24;35:8,10,	moderate (1)	NAC(1)
loudly (1)	22;20:11;31:21,21,	15,19;50:12	22:7	NAC (1)
5:23	23;33:20,24,24;38:1,	members' (2)	moment (1)	15:9
low (20)	3,3;43:1,4,9	31:16;33:3	6:16	name (15)
17:13;20:17;21:12;	maybe (8)	membership (8)	money (4)	5:22;6:10,22,24;
22:4,23;23:9,23,24;	20:8;25:5;29:4;	24:1;25:22,24;	47:23;49:4;51:5;	7:4,7,11;8:15;9:15,
24:5,13;25:10,19;	30:16;35:16,18;	26:4;27:15;32:17;	52:17	17,20;15:17;47:3;
26:5;27:19;29:22;	42:24;50:3	33:23;34:17	monies (2)	53:22,24
32:15,23;33:6;34:6;	McClendon (7)	Mention (1)	48:7;52:19	Nancy (1)
37:20	5:13,14;12:20,20;	28:2	month (5)	52:8

natural (1)	noted (1)	6:16;7:18;11:1;	7:17;18:8;20:12;	37:20
40:16	12:10	13:5,9;15:14;21:5,	23:2,11,18,21;24:24;	PEBP (28)
nature (1)	nother (1)	13;23:12,13,13;25:3,	27:16;33:11;34:19;	6:19;8:3,12,17,19;
50:11	42:19	11,11;27:1;28:11,24;	46:24	9:4,9;10:2,10,12,13,
necessarily (1)	noticeably (2)	30:5,13;34:14;35:3,	overall (9)	17;16:22;17:3,4,21;
50:4	27:16;28:9	11,13,16;36:11;	16:22;21:24;26:4;	18:12;20:4,23;31:14;
need (13)	noticed (1)	37:24;42:17,23;	28:7;31:15;32:9;	39:14;47:24;48:14,
13:13,14;17:8;	26:13	51:21;53:14	33:9;44:23;45:4	17;49:4,20,22;52:19
18:21;19:2,16;35:4,	November (2)	ongoing (2)	overpayment (1)	PEBP's (1)
20;38:1;39:3;50:11;	19:8;41:8	32:23;42:10	51:14	43:4
51:9,19	NRS (1)	online (4)	overpayments (2)	penalties (2)
<b>needed (3)</b> 14:2;22:16;37:16	10:11 <b>Number (29)</b>	8:17;9:11;52:6; 54:4	45:16;50:10 overview (3)	48:12;50:20 penalty (5)
needs (4)	6:1,1,22;10:1,2,22,	only (6)	19:21;20:8,8	45:8;48:11;50:21;
17:2;32:9;45:13;	23;15:7,8;16:16,17;	6:18;17:5;21:11;	owed (1)	51:12,16
49:5	19:20,20;28:4;34:5,	22:1;37:19;40:21	49:4	people (21)
negotiate (1)	5;38:6,7,23;42:14;	onto (1)	12.1	17:1,16;19:1;24:9,
52:11	43:16;44:4,4;46:16,	41:16	P	14;25:13,15,16;
negotiation (1)	17;53:10,11,22;54:5	oOo- (1)		27:12,20,20;28:5,13,
51:2	numbers (6)	5:2	packet (6)	19;29:6;30:4,6,6,22;
neither (1)	17:8,10,17,17;	OPEBP (3)	8:3,21;20:7,16;	33:4,8
42:17	38:20,21	14:1,2;43:8	21:24;31:14	per (7)
net (1)		Open (5)	page (5)	6:8;9:1;23:1;26:2;
47:12	0	16:22,24;17:6,7;	20:6,15,16;21:23,	33:15;36:10;53:13
NEVADA (5)		30:7	24	percent (14)
5:1;7:13;15:9;	observations (1)	operating (1)	paid (8)	21:1,2,3,5;22:16;
44:6;46:19	48:3	42:2	20:23;44:12,14,16;	27:14;32:14,16;
new (7)	obviously (3)	opinion (1)	47:11,12;49:20; 52:16	37:11,20;44:18;45:4, 7,8
7:16,19;8:12,18; 17:1;19:10;41:22	14:6;30:15;50:18 October (3)	51:4 opportunity (1)	Pamela (1)	percentage (2)
newsletter (1)	18:2,20;41:7	30:14	7:18	20:22;21:7
9:7	off (4)	opposed (7)	part (5)	performance (9)
next (18)	31:18,18,23;53:18	11:22;13:3;15:5;	21:14,16;37:22;	44:18,23;45:2,3,6;
8:23;12:11;14:8,9,	offer (1)	16:15;44:1;46:15;	41:5;42:2	47:10;48:8,9;52:15
17;20:12;21:23;23:1,	10:13	53:8	partial (1)	perhaps (1)
13,13,14,18;25:5;	offered (1)	option (4)	13:9	33:7
27:23;33:10;34:20;	21:14	21:14;33:19;42:8;	passes (7)	period (6)
42:22;50:17	offerings (1)	43:1	11:23;13:4;15:6;	44:7,13;45:3;
nice (1)	30:3	options (8)	16:16;44:2;46:15;	46:20;47:11;53:11
20:4	office (3)	19:21;20:9,10,10;	53:9	permission (5)
nightmare (1) 7:22	14:7;38:14,15	25:3;30:15;37:7;42:6	password (1)	7:3;9:14,17,19;
nine (1)	Officer (9) 7:10;8:3;12:9;	orangish (1) 22:6	17:5 past (7)	50:1 person (3)
48:9	14:15;16:17,20;	order (1)	14:12,13;18:21;	6:8;25:18;53:13
None (14)	18:12;20:13;49:10	52:12	37:11;38:16,21;42:6	perspective (2)
6:14,15;11:8,9,18;	offset (4)	orders (1)	path (1)	21:21;33:3
12:23;14:19;15:1;	40:19;41:4;50:21;	38:13	37:24	pharmacy (2)
16:12;19:19;43:21;	51:17	original (1)	pause (1)	31:23;47:12
46:2,11;53:3	offsetting (1)	8:14	23:17	phone (3)
nor (1)	40:3	Otherwise (1)	pay (10)	6:22;7:1;53:22
13:11	70.5			
	often (1)	42:22	21:3,5;29:18;	phones (2)
normalize (3)	often (1) 8:7	42:22 out (20)	21:3,5;29:18; 30:16,19;35:4;36:13;	6:15;17:4
normalize (3) 32:17;33:16,17	often (1) 8:7 old (1)	42:22 <b>out (20)</b> 7:20;13:22,22;	21:3,5;29:18; 30:16,19;35:4;36:13; 50:9,20;52:18	6:15;17:4 <b>physician (1)</b>
normalize (3) 32:17;33:16,17 normalized (2)	often (1) 8:7 old (1) 29:22	42:22 <b>out (20)</b> 7:20;13:22,22; 17:16;19:2,8;27:9;	21:3,5;29:18; 30:16,19;35:4;36:13; 50:9,20;52:18 payback (1)	6:15;17:4 <b>physician (1)</b> 32:7
normalize (3) 32:17;33:16,17 normalized (2) 34:1,11	often (1) 8:7 old (1) 29:22 older (2)	42:22 out (20) 7:20;13:22,22; 17:16;19:2,8;27:9; 29:9;30:3,8;34:23;	21:3,5;29:18; 30:16,19;35:4;36:13; 50:9,20;52:18 payback (1) 48:6	6:15;17:4 <b>physician (1)</b> 32:7 <b>pick (1)</b>
normalize (3) 32:17;33:16,17 normalized (2) 34:1,11 Normally (1)	often (1) 8:7 old (1) 29:22 older (2) 25:15,22	42:22 out (20) 7:20;13:22,22; 17:16;19:2,8;27:9; 29:9;30:3,8;34:23; 35:1,2;37:3,19;	21:3,5;29:18; 30:16,19;35:4;36:13; 50:9,20;52:18 payback (1) 48:6 paying (2)	6:15;17:4 <b>physician (1)</b> 32:7 <b>pick (1)</b> 30:7
normalize (3) 32:17;33:16,17 normalized (2) 34:1,11 Normally (1) 39:5	often (1) 8:7 old (1) 29:22 older (2) 25:15,22 oldest (1)	42:22 out (20) 7:20;13:22,22; 17:16;19:2,8;27:9; 29:9;30:3,8;34:23; 35:1,2;37:3,19; 39:19;43:4;44:3;	21:3,5;29:18; 30:16,19;35:4;36:13; 50:9,20;52:18 payback (1) 48:6 paying (2) 30:8;39:17	6:15;17:4 physician (1) 32:7 pick (1) 30:7 picks (1)
normalize (3) 32:17;33:16,17 normalized (2) 34:1,11 Normally (1) 39:5 north (3)	often (1) 8:7 old (1) 29:22 older (2) 25:15,22 oldest (1) 25:24	42:22 out (20) 7:20;13:22,22; 17:16;19:2,8;27:9; 29:9;30:3,8;34:23; 35:1,2;37:3,19; 39:19;43:4;44:3; 46:16;52:18	21:3,5;29:18; 30:16,19;35:4;36:13; 50:9,20;52:18 payback (1) 48:6 paying (2) 30:8;39:17 payment (6)	6:15;17:4 physician (1) 32:7 pick (1) 30:7 picks (1) 23:24
normalize (3) 32:17;33:16,17 normalized (2) 34:1,11 Normally (1) 39:5 north (3) 29:13,18,22	often (1) 8:7 old (1) 29:22 older (2) 25:15,22 oldest (1) 25:24 olds (1)	42:22 out (20) 7:20;13:22,22; 17:16;19:2,8;27:9; 29:9;30:3,8;34:23; 35:1,2;37:3,19; 39:19;43:4;44:3; 46:16;52:18 outside (2)	21:3,5;29:18; 30:16,19;35:4;36:13; 50:9,20;52:18 payback (1) 48:6 paying (2) 30:8;39:17 payment (6) 47:9;48:1;50:22;	6:15;17:4 physician (1) 32:7 pick (1) 30:7 picks (1) 23:24 piece (2)
normalize (3) 32:17;33:16,17 normalized (2) 34:1,11 Normally (1) 39:5 north (3) 29:13,18,22 northern (3)	often (1) 8:7 old (1) 29:22 older (2) 25:15,22 oldest (1) 25:24 olds (1) 28:4	42:22 out (20) 7:20;13:22,22; 17:16;19:2,8;27:9; 29:9;30:3,8;34:23; 35:1,2;37:3,19; 39:19;43:4;44:3; 46:16;52:18 outside (2) 13:12,14	21:3,5;29:18; 30:16,19;35:4;36:13; 50:9,20;52:18 <b>payback (1)</b> 48:6 <b>paying (2)</b> 30:8;39:17 <b>payment (6)</b> 47:9;48:1;50:22; 51:19;52:13,16	6:15;17:4 physician (1) 32:7 pick (1) 30:7 picks (1) 23:24 piece (2) 31:1;39:14
normalize (3) 32:17;33:16,17 normalized (2) 34:1,11 Normally (1) 39:5 north (3) 29:13,18,22 northern (3) 21:16;29:15,19	often (1) 8:7 old (1) 29:22 older (2) 25:15,22 oldest (1) 25:24 olds (1) 28:4 once (2)	42:22 out (20) 7:20;13:22,22; 17:16;19:2,8;27:9; 29:9;30:3,8;34:23; 35:1,2;37:3,19; 39:19;43:4;44:3; 46:16;52:18 outside (2)	21:3,5;29:18; 30:16,19;35:4;36:13; 50:9,20;52:18 payback (1) 48:6 paying (2) 30:8;39:17 payment (6) 47:9;48:1;50:22; 51:19;52:13,16 payments (2)	6:15;17:4 physician (1) 32:7 pick (1) 30:7 picks (1) 23:24 piece (2)
normalize (3) 32:17;33:16,17 normalized (2) 34:1,11 Normally (1) 39:5 north (3) 29:13,18,22 northern (3)	often (1) 8:7 old (1) 29:22 older (2) 25:15,22 oldest (1) 25:24 olds (1) 28:4	42:22 out (20) 7:20;13:22,22; 17:16;19:2,8;27:9; 29:9;30:3,8;34:23; 35:1,2;37:3,19; 39:19;43:4;44:3; 46:16;52:18 outside (2) 13:12,14 outstanding (1)	21:3,5;29:18; 30:16,19;35:4;36:13; 50:9,20;52:18 <b>payback (1)</b> 48:6 <b>paying (2)</b> 30:8;39:17 <b>payment (6)</b> 47:9;48:1;50:22; 51:19;52:13,16	6:15;17:4 physician (1) 32:7 pick (1) 30:7 picks (1) 23:24 piece (2) 31:1;39:14 Pillar (1)

47:1,4;48:20,20;	38:9;44:9;46:4,22;	45:5	putting (2)	24:9
49:3	52:22	procure (1)	39:1;41:14	reassessing (1)
PillarRX's (1)	posted (1)	36:22	0,11,1111	33:5
48:24	15:18	product (5)	Q	rebate (10)
place (1)	potential (4)	13:18;28:24;35:9,	· ·	47:10;48:15,15,19,
45:13	39:11,12;45:19;	20;36:23	QC (1)	20,22;49:7;51:1,14;
places (1)	51:2	products (3)	18:12	52:16
40:7	potentially (4)	28:19;29:6;47:5	quality (1)	rebates (5)
plan (83)	18:3;22:10;40:5;	Program (9)	8:2	49:19;50:6,10,24;
13:19;17:13;19:6,	49:22	5:4;13:8;20:9,11;	quantify (1)	52:13
6,11,21;20:9,10,11,	PPO (9)	27:2;44:6;46:19;	33:14	receive (1)
17,20,24;21:3,9,9,10,	20:18;22:23;23:23;	50:12,12	quarter (7)	38:14
13,14,20;22:4,4,8,9,	24:6;25:19;27:19;	programs (1)	23:13;28:12;44:11,	received (2)
14;23:3,5,9,11,20,23,	29:13;32:23;34:7	24:21	14,17,23;45:9	48:7,19
24;24:5,10,13,15;	predicted (1)	prohibited (1)	quarterly (3)	receiving (2)
25:3,8,10,14;26:1,6;	32:8	30:22	44:18;52:2,3	50:9,9
27:13,21;28:1,9;	preliminary (1)	projected (1)	quick (4)	recognize (1)
29:12,19,24;30:5,7,8;	17:17	8:22	26:8;28:14;52:18;	34:8
31:7;32:15;33:5,5,7,	pre-meeting (1)	projecting (1)	53:18	recommend (3)
7,8,13,17,18,20;34:1,	49:15	39:10	quickly (1)	45:10,17;48:4
2,4,7,9,10,10,12,17,	premium (13)	prorated (1)	18:17	recommendation (1)
23,24;35:7;36:15,16;	21:10,12,18;22:6,	48:23	quite (1)	50:23
37:6,14;39:15,16;	22;30:16,20;34:9,18;	prorating (1)	8:1	recommendations (1)
40:12;41:21;50:8	36:24;37:19,24;	49:2	quo (1)	41:19
planned (1)	40:20	proration (1)	51:3	recommended (1)
12:11	premiums (13)	49:17	quorum (1)	41:13
planning (3)	21:21;22:1,11,17;	prospective (1)	5:21	recommending (1)
17:24;18:1;19:10	23:7;24:7;25:8;31:2;	36:2		40:17
plans (24)	32:21;33:3;36:7,9;	provide (3)	R	reconciliation (1)
21:18;23:20;25:10,	41:4	13:17;20:11;35:3		47:14
21;26:19;27:4,17;	present (2)	provided (2)	Radhika (1)	reconciling (1)
28:12,15,21;29:3,9;	46:3;47:1	45:21;48:2	10:3	47:9
30:21;31:15;32:12;	presented (2)	provider (4)	raised (1)	record (28)
35:12,17;36:2,20;	17:17;46:7	8:12,19;45:20;	6:3	6:24;7:4,8,11;9:15,
38:1,2;41:9;44:6;	preserves (1)	50:10	random (4)	18,20;16:20;18:19;
46:19	22:20	providers (1)	44:20,22;45:11,17	20:1;26:22;29:8;
please (13)	press (2)	31:19	rapidly (1)	31:5,12;35:22;37:1,
5:6,22;6:9,23;7:2,	7:2,7	provides (2)	49:24	10;38:11;42:5;43:3;
4,6;9:14,17,20;13:6;	pretty (8)	16:21;38:12	rate (1)	46:23;47:2;49:15;
23:16;53:23	8:11;13:21;16:23;	proving (1)	33:9	50:18;51:12;52:1,9;
<b>PMPM</b> (2)	17:20;24:2;37:23;	38:19	rates (3)	53:24
23:2;25:8	39:5;52:9	provisions (1)	8:24;29:17;39:16	recovery (2)
point (10)	prevalence (1)	20:21	reached (1)	45:15,19
17:7;23:17;28:15;	27:24	Public (28)	7:20	reduce (1)
30:11;37:19;38:24;	preventative (1)	5:4;6:1,1,6,10,18,	reading (1)	50:6
43:14;51:9;52:16,22	24:12	21;7:5,8,13,14,15,17;	9:9	reelect (2)
points (2)	price (1)	9:15,18,21,22;10:23;	ready (1)	15:22;16:8
21:20;32:16	30:5	13:8;40:14;44:6;	19:13	reflects (1)
policy (2)	pricing (4)	46:19;53:11,11,21;	Real (2)	20:22
37:2;41:6	47:8,14,22;52:14	54:2,3,4	28:14;38:20	refund (1)
pool (3)	primarily (2)	pull (1)	really (18)	50:20
35:3,11,13	42:13;47:18	11:8	19:14;21:13;26:17,	refunded (1)
pooled (1)	primary (2)	pulled (2)	20;29:12,21;31:2;	50:10
36:2	37:14;40:18	11:10,14	32:8;35:15;38:24;	regarding (3)
portion (5)	prior (4)	pulling (1)	40:10,18,21;41:1,5;	10:9;38:7;49:7
20:23;26:1;27:15,	44:17,23;45:3,22	11:6	43:7;50:17;51:18	regardless (2)
21;47:15	probably (1) 40:4	purchase (2)	reappointed (1)	18:15;33:22
<b>position (4)</b> 7:16;8:4;30:4;39:1		42:9,21 <b>Pursuant (2)</b>	15:19	regular (1) 42:2
possibility (2)	proceed (2) 6:24;53:24	10:11;15:8	reason (4)	
13:16;43:3	0:24;53:24 process (2)	put (4)	15:17;24:15;29:3,9	regularly (1) 32:7
possible (8)	45:12,19	28:19;30:3;34:14,	reasonable (1) 24:22	reimbursement (1)
15:12,15;18:17;	processed (1)	23	reassess (1)	8:24
13.12,13,10.17,	processeu (1)	45	1 (435035 (1)	0.27

				. ,
reimbursements (1)	17:2,22;18:22;	running (1)	52:4	sign-off (1)
48:5	51:5;52:7	23:10	sense (3)	52:12
relationships (1)	responded (1)	rural (1)	26:19;33:18;35:21	silver (1)
22:2	18:21	40:15	separate (1)	35:6
relative (2)	responsibility (1)		48:10	similar (1)
22:24;32:19	50:8	S	separately (2)	35:6
relatively (3)	responsible (1)		11:2,4	simpler (1)
7:19;32:10;34:10	47:4	safety (1)	September (4)	43:7
rely (1)	rest (1)	40:14	19:5,9;31:6;41:7	simply (1)
13:24	17:3	Same (11)	serious (1)	9:11
remains (1)	result (1)	16:4;22:1;29:17,	7:21	single (4)
10:8	22:18	18;34:15;35:20;	service (1)	26:1;27:19,20,20
remember (1)	results (3)	36:12,13;37:4;39:22;	9:1	six (3)
5:22	44:22;45:8,18	43:10	services (7)	7:2,7;9:8
reminder (5)	Retired (2)	sample (5)	17:1,21;24:12;	slide (14)
6:17;7:1;18:1;	7:13,14	44:20,22;45:11,17,	40:15;45:21,22;50:9	6:13,17;20:15;
20:17,21	retiree (3)	18	session (3)	21:23;23:1,15,18;
remove (1)	7:19;39:12;43:6	samples (1)	9:23;19:13;38:16	26:11;27:23;31:13;
11:4	retirees (4)	44:19	set (1)	32:13;33:12;53:15,
removed (1)	7:15;8:10,16;12:5	savings (2)	41:2	20
11:1	retroactive (2)	40:1,6	setting (2)	slides (1)
repeat (1)	49:24;50:2	saw (1)	23:5;39:15	25:5
33:10	revenue (1)	30:10	several (2)	<b>slip</b> (1)
replaced (1)	51:18	saying (8)	8:8;52:1	41:16
29:11	review (5)	11:19;12:24;15:2;	share (1)	slotted (1)
report (8)	45:17;47:10,10;	31:19;43:22;46:12;	21:7	22:5
14:2;16:17,20;	48:8,15	51:8;53:5	sharing (4)	slowly (6)
17:10;30:10,11;	reviewed (3)	scheduled (2)	35:3,7,9,18	6:24;7:4;9:14,17,
38:12;40:12	23:19;25:7,8	13:18;18:1	shift (1)	20;53:24
represent (1)	reviewing (2)	scope (1)	24:3	small (3)
7:14	23:5;45:10	44:11	short (2)	30:1,2;42:13
request (6)	Richard (7)	score (1)	16:24;19:13	software (5)
9:2;38:8;40:11;	19:21;20:1;26:22;	32:8	shortcomings (1)	13:17;40:22;41:22;
41:20;49:23;50:1	30:10;31:12;35:22;	scores (2)	14:6	42:2,23
requested (1)	37:1	32:18;33:16	shortfall (4)	solve (1)
41:22	richer (1)	screening (2)	39:17;40:6;49:19;	8:5
require (3)	32:21	44:19;45:18	50:24	someone (2)
10:15;36:22;50:20	richest (1)	Scripts (10)	shortfalls (1)	31:21;32:5
required (1)	23:6	46:20;47:6,14,17,	39:24	sometimes (1)
38:13	<b>right</b> (11)	21;48:2,9;49:12;	show (3)	33:24
requirement (1)	6:13,17;28:16,22;	51:9,22	21:23;32:13;44:22	somewhat (1)
29:14	30:1;35:6;40:3,17,	second (16)	showed (3)	8:13
requirements (1)	24;50:12;53:15	9:23;11:15,17;	47:15,23;48:8	sooner (1)
43:8	risk (25)	12:21,23;14:23;15:1;	showing (3)	42:21
reserve (2)	25:13,13,15;26:6,	16:9,11;38:18;43:19,	21:11;23:1;33:13	sorry (9)
8:22;22:12	9;28:1,7,8,22;29:6;	21;46:8,10;53:1,3	shown (1)	15:12;18:9;26:13;
reserves (4)	30:18;31:15,17;32:2,	seeing (25)	30:10	29:4,7;31:8;36:21;
22:17;39:24;40:1,3	7,14,19,22;33:16,18,	6:15;10:21;11:8,9,	shows (2)	43:16;45:21
resets (1)	21,21,22;35:2;36:2	17;12:23;14:19;15:1;	23:18;27:23	sort (2)
17:5	role (2)	16:11;17:13;19:19;	shy (1)	35:9;36:23
resistant (1)	5:6;15:23	25:9;29:21;31:20;	23:16	source (1)
9:11	roof (1)	32:6;33:10;34:3,8;	sickest (1)	43:1
resolution (1)	30:5	36:16;39:18;43:21;	30:4	south (3)
52:18	room (3)	46:2,11;53:3;54:3	side (4)	29:11,16,18
resolve (2)	14:4;40:22;41:23	seems (3)	20:2;41:16;51:18,	southern (2)
17:23;50:15	root (1)	17:15;19:14;43:6	19	21:14;29:15
resolved (1)	45:11	Segal (3)	significant (1)	speak (6)
50:22	row (2)	14:3;19:21;20:2	28:8	5:23;7:3;9:14,17,
resolving (1)	20:19;21:11	selection (3)	significantly (1)	19;37:3
8:1	RPEN (1)	30:4;32:24;36:5	26:21	speaking (6)
resources (2)	7:13	self-insured (3)	signify (6)	5:22;25:15;28:2;
13:11;40:16	run (1)	23:11;37:3,6	11:18;12:24;15:2;	32:10;33:4;34:10
respond (5)	18:3	self-report (1)	43:22;46:12;53:4	specific (4)
	*			

ZOOM, TELETHONIC	WIEETING NOTICE A	TIO TIGETIDA		July 23, 2024
12:1,6;39:8;43:4	44:20	supply (1)	9:8	UMR's (1)
specifically (1)	status (1)	48:23	timing (1)	44:23
45:20	51:3	support (2)	18:14	unanimously (7)
spell (9)	stay (2)	16:2;40:15	today (2)	11:20;13:1;15:3;
5:22;6:9,24;7:4,7;	8:19;37:17	sure (7)	5:10;20:7	16:13;43:23;46:13;
9:14,17,20;53:24	stayed (1)	14:8;19:15;37:17;	together (4)	53:6
spiral (1)	37:23	40:19;41:3;50:11;	10:24;22:24;33:12;	under (3)
32:24	steady (1)	51:3	36:22	6:3,6;28:15
splitting (1)	24:2	surprised (1)	took (3)	under-performance (1)
22:18	step (1)	25:23	17:21;31:14;32:18	47:16
spread (2)	50:17	sustainable (1)	top (4)	Unfortunately (1)
28:22;29:6	steps (1)	30:23	20:19;26:14,16;	26:23
spreading (2)	12:11	system (1)	27:1	unhealthy (1)
30:1,3	still (12)	45:13	total (2)	28:23
spreadsheets (1)	8:16;13:13;14:13;		8:22;20:23	unique (2)
42:7	16:24;17:19;24:20;	${f T}$	totaled (1)	26:19;28:15
Stacie (11)	35:8,10;37:13,18;		44:15	unit (2)
5:19;15:13,13;	38:23;52:16	talking (2)	towards (1)	17:1,1
16:1,7;26:8;28:14;	Strasburg (35)	19:9;42:1	28:8	unless (3)
30:13;34:21;36:21;	5:17,18;11:3,3,13;	19:9;42:1 targeted (2)	trained (2)	6:3;11:1;35:17
43:15	12:2,8,9;13:5;14:15;	44:19;45:18	18:16;39:15	unmute (2)
Stacie's (1)	15:10;16:4,9,9;24:16,	targets (1)	trainees (1)	7:2,7
15:17	18,18;25:4;41:24,24;	48:11	40:23	unmuted (2)
staff (5)	42:24,24;43:19,19;	Technologies (3)	training (2)	6:23;53:23
5:6;17:3;20:2;	46:6;49:10,10;50:14,	44:5;46:18,21	14:10;45:12	unsustainable (1)
42:14,16	15;51:7,8,21,22;53:1,	Technology (1)	transcriber (1)	30:11
staffed (1)	1	44:8	5:23	up (14)
16:24	Strategic (4)	term (2)	traveling (3)	6:13,17;18:15;
staffing (2)	17:24;18:1;19:10;	18:14;39:2	15:22;18:9,9	19:10;21:19;23:24;
9:4;18:11	31:6	Terri (1)	trend (2)	30:12;33:2;35:8;
stakeholders (1)	stratified (1)	7:11	23:21;33:9	39:16;41:2;42:12;
16:22	44:20	T-e-r-i (1)	true (1)	53:15,20
stance (1)	streaming (1)	7:12	22:2	use (4)
48:24	6:18	testified (2)	try (3)	22:11;30:19;34:18;
standards (2)	submission (1)	8:7,9	9:23;34:14;35:12	41:1
48:9,10	41:20	thanks (1)	trying (7)	used (3)
standpoint (1)	submit (1)	31:8	13:21;14:11;18:16;	6:17;36:7;38:21
35:10	9:6	thinking (5)	29:15;35:14,21;	using (4)
stands (1)	submitted (1)	14:14;24:7;26:5;	52:11	8:17;36:10;40:2;
49:3	7:18	31:1;35:2	turn (2)	42:7
star (1)	subsidies (2)	third (2)	37:4;46:24	utilize (2)
7:2	35:3,18	28:10;44:11	turnaround (3)	37:4;40:24
start (4)	subsidizing (1)	though (2)	44:24;45:4,7	
6:10;7:7;20:6;31:1	38:2	7:23;29:19	turned (1)	$\mathbf{V}$
started (2)	subsidy (4)	thought (3)	7:22	
18:16;29:9	35:7;36:13;37:12;	8:11;34:13;38:19	two (14)	vacancies (1)
starting (3)	41:6	three (8)	18:2;20:15;24:1;	39:10
14:9;36:16;38:24	substantially (1)	6:8;18:2;20:10;	25:9,14;27:1;29:10;	Vaccine (1)
state (20)	21:21	21:20,23;40:4;44:14;	35:17;36:19;39:16;	47:20
5:22;6:9,24;7:4,7;	success (1)	53:13	40:7;43:5;50:3,3	vaccines (1)
9:14,17,20;12:5;	7:16	three-year (1)	type (2)	47:19
21:15,16;26:18;27:3,	successful (1)	40:12	51:23;52:12	valid (1)
4;41:12,12;44:6;	16:23	throwing (2)	types (2)	44:20
46:18;50:13;53:24	suggesting (1)	34:22;35:1	31:19,19	validation (1)
statement (5)	27:18	THURSDAY (1)	typical (2)	44:18
12:6;13:15,17;	summary (1)	5:1	26:18;39:5	value (16)
42:19;43:3	20:17	tie (1)	typically (4)	20:19,20,21;21:2,
statements (6)	summer (1)	33:12	19:6,7;38:17;51:16	19;24:5,5,14;33:5,15;
13:11;42:1,14,22;	20:5	tier (2)		34:9,12;35:5,8,12,19
43:6,9	sunsetting (3)	29:24;36:12	U	variation (1)
states (2)	25:3;37:24;41:9	tiers (2)		23:11
27:10;48:22	<b>supplied</b> (1)	22:2;35:18	UMR (4)	vendor (1)
statistically (1)	45:21	times (1)	44:7,12;46:2;52:2	37:4
		(-)	,=2,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

ZOOM/TELEPHONIC	MEETING NOTICE A	ND AGENDA		July 25, 2024
do(2)		0.0.0.1.14.11.	2027 (1)	11.4 10.12.5 15.
vendors (3)	welcome (3)	8:8;9:1;14:11;	2027 (1)	11:4,10;12:5,15;
18:7;52:2,2	10:5;20:2,4	22:8;23:2,19,22;	38:9	14:20
verbiage (1)	welfare (1)	27:16;33:11;39:16;	22 (1)	40 (3)
49:1	12:5	50:3	22:4	22:18;32:16,16
versus (1)	wellness (2)	younger (1)	223,000 (1)	400,000 (1)
24:8	24:12;40:14	25:16	44:15	51:14
VIA (3)	west (1)	YouTube (2)	2294 (1)	405,000 (1)
8:12,15,19	27:9	6:19,20	9:16	51:14
viable (3)	Weyland (1)		23 (3)	463 (1)
25:1;30:23;42:13	42:15	${f Z}$	21:5;22:8;39:16	47:11
Vice (4)	what's (2)	<u> </u>	24 (3)	77.11
15:8,23,24;16:8	19:15;50:12	700m (4)	22:8,14;38:24	5
		Zoom (4)		3
virtual (1)	whichever (1)	6:13,15,17;53:14	25 (8)	<b>-</b> (2)
40:23	13:20		5:1;21:10;22:9,15;	5 (3)
vote (15)	whole (1)	0	23:21;28:3,12;38:24	15:8;16:16;43:16
11:18,20;12:15,24;	42:19		25th (1)	5,000,000 (2)
13:1;15:2,3;16:12,	whose (1)	0891 (1)	5:5	50:24;51:18
13;43:22,23;46:11,	10:12	7:6	25-year-old (5)	5.1 (1)
13;53:4,6	wish (7)		25:19;28:3,3,5,6	51:13
voted (2)	7:5,8,16;9:15,18,	1	26 (1)	5.154762.98 (1)
11:4;19:7	21;11:7	_	41:9	50:24
voting (2)	wished (1)	1(1)	26-27 (2)	5.2 (1)
10:14,16	15:22	44:13	40:9;42:10	51:13
10.14,10				
$\mathbf{W}$	wishes (1)	1.0 (2)	281A420 (1)	50 (2)
VV	52:6	32:17,18	10:11	22:16;44:19
	within (8)	10 (2)	283 (1)	50-year-old (4)
waiting (1)	28:1,9;35:13;	46:17;53:10	20:7	25:17;28:2,5,7
42:9	37:17;44:24;45:1,5,7	10,000,000 (1)	284 (1)	5526 (1)
wants (3)	without (1)	40:6	20:16	21:12
16:2;41:10;51:1	26:3	100 (1)	285 (1)	
Ward (31)	Woodward (11)	44:18	21:24	6
19:21,23;20:1,1;	5:15,16;11:15,15;	11 (2)	287.172 (1)	
24:17,22;25:5;26:12,	14:23,23;15:11;	53:11;54:5	15:9	6 (2)
15,22,22;27:1,3,6,9,	18:19,19;46:8,8	14 (2)	290 (1)	16:17;19:20
12;28:17;29:1;31:9,	word (1)		31:13	10.17,19.20
	15:18	44:24;45:5	31.13	7
12,12;35:22,22;36:1,		1999 (1)	2	/
6,9,19;37:1,1,6;38:4	work (3)	9:13	3	
watch (1)	14:7;17:19;20:3	1st (4)		7 (2)
6:19	worked (2)	44:7;46:20;47:6;	3 (2)	19:21;38:6
way (11)	14:4;42:15	49:23	10:2,22	7,000 (1)
13:20,23;29:3;	workforce (1)		30 (3)	9:8
32:11,11;34:12,13;	40:13	2	32:14;45:1,7	70,000 (3)
35:10;36:22;37:18;	works (1)		30th (2)	28:15;29:6;30:22
50:19	19:3	2 (3)	46:21;47:7	700,000 (1)
ways (2)	world (1)	6:1,1;10:1	31 (1)	51:16
19:14;36:11	42:19	2.5 (1)	44:13	74 (1)
weakness (2)	worth (1)		31st (2)	14:3
, ,	30:6	45:8		
12:10;13:9		20 (1)	16:23;44:8	75 (1)
Weeks (40)	writing (1)	22:15	33 (1)	14:3
5:19,20;15:13,13;	7:18	200 (1)	25:21	76.7 (1)
16:1,1,7,7;26:8,8,13,	wrong (1)	44:20	34 (1)	21:1
16,24;27:2,5,8,11;	41:16	2022 (3)	25:21	763,000 (1)
28:14,14,18;29:2;		46:20;47:7;49:23		51:13
30:13,13;31:8,10;	$\mathbf{Y}$	2023 (7)	4	77 (2)
34:21,21;35:24;36:4,		13:19,20;17:11;		21:2,3
8,18,21,21;37:5,9,15,	year (25)	46:21;47:6,7;48:5	4(2)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
21;43:15,15,18	8:24;9:8;13:19,20;	2024 (9)	10:23;15:7	8
Weissman (1)	17:6;21:10;22:4,7,9,	5:1,5;17:12;38:18;	4,719 (1)	
47:3	14;23:13,21,24;	44:7,8,12,13,13	17:21	8 (3)
Weissmann (2)	24:24;28:4;33:1,10;		4.1 (8)	38:7;43:16;44:4
		2025 (2)		30.7,43.10,44.4
46:24;47:2	38:17,19;39:16,17,	17:12;42:8	11:4,6,10,24;12:1,	Δ.
W-e-i-s-s-m-a-n-n (1)	18,20;47:6;52:1	2026 (1)	14,16,18	9
47:3	years (11)	38:8	4.6 (5)	

9 (2) 44:4;46:16 9:00 (1) 5:5 92 (1) 45:4 99 (1) 45:7 9th (1) 18:16		