Claim Administration Audit

HEALTH REIMBURSEMENT ARRANGEMENT

State of Nevada Public Employees' Benefits Program Health Reimbursement Arrangement Plan

Administered by Via Benefits from Willis Towers Watson

Audit Period: July 1, 2024 through June 30, 2025
Plan Year 2025

Presented to

State of Nevada Public Employees' Benefits Program

November 20, 2025



PART OF THE BROWN & BROWN TEAM

Proprietary and Confidential

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXEUCTIVE SUMMARY	3
OPERATIONAL REVIEW	5
RANDOM SAMPLE AUDIT	8
ELIGIBILITY VERIFICATION	10
RECOMMENDATIONS	11
CONCLUSION	12
APPENDIX – Administrator's Response to Initial Report	13



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Comprehensive Audit Report is a compilation of the detailed information, findings, and conclusions drawn from Claim Technologies Incorporated's (CTI's) audit of Via Benefits from Willis Towers Watson's administration of the State of Nevada Public Employees' Benefits Program (PEBP) Medicare Exchange Health Reimbursement Arrangement (HRA) plan.

Scope

CTI performed an audit of Via Benefits' administration of the PEBP HRA for the period of July 1, 2024 through June 30, 2025 (plan year 2025). The population of claims and amount paid during the audit period was taken from the paid claim file provided by Via Benefits.

Health Reimbursement Arrangement (HRA)			
Total Paid Amount \$19,357,954			
Total Number of Claims Paid/Denied/Adjusted 180,185			

The audit included the following components which are described in more detail in the following pages.

- Operational Review
- Random Sample Audit
- Eligibility Verification

Auditor's Opinion

Based on these findings, and in CTI's opinion:

- 1. Via Benefits provided good service to PEBP members by exceeding its performance guarantee for all four quarters for the Customer Satisfaction Quarterly Review goal, despite missing the annual Customer Service Abandonment Rate and Average Speed of Answer performance goals for FY 2025 Q2 and Q4.
- 2. Based on the random sample findings, CTI recommends the following areas for improvement:
 - Provide claim processors with coaching on the errors identified during the audit, with focus on verification of claim amounts, claimant, and service dates.
 - O Clarification and consistent application of the banking procedures for claim payments.

Summary of Via Benefits Guarantee Measurements

Based on CTI's Random Sample Audit results, Via Benefits met two of three annual metrics for PEBP in plan year 2025. The metric for Claim Processing Payment Precision was not met, and a penalty is owed.

FY 2024 Annual Metrics	Guarantee	Met/Not Met	Penalty
Claim Financial Precision	98%	Met – 99.09%	\$0
Claim Processing Payment Precision	98%	Not Met – 97.50%	\$10,000
Claim Processing Turnaround Time	Average 2 business days	Met – 0.44 days	\$0
		Total Penalty	\$10,000



AUDIT OBJECTIVES

This report contains CTI's findings from our audit of Via Benefits' administration of the PEBP's Medicare HRA plan. We provide this report to PEBP, the plan sponsor, and Via Benefits, the claim administrator. A copy of Via Benefits' response to these findings can be found in the Appendix of this report.

CTI conducted the audit according to accepted standards and procedures for claim audits in the health insurance industry. We based our audit findings on the data and information provided by PEBP and Via Benefits. The validity of our findings relies on the accuracy and completeness of that information. We planned and performed the audit to obtain reasonable assurance claims were adjudicated according to the terms of the contract between Via Benefits and PEBP.

CTI specializes in the audit and control of health plan claim administration. Accordingly, the statements we make relate narrowly and specifically to the overall effectiveness of policies, procedures, and systems Via Benefits used to pay PEBP's claims during the audit period. While performing the audit, CTI complied with all confidentiality, non-disclosure, and conflict of interest requirements and did not receive anything of value or any benefit of any kind other than agreed upon audit fees.

The objectives of CTI's audit of Via Benefits' claim administration were to determine whether:

- Via Benefits followed the terms of its contract with PEBP;
- Via Benefits paid claims according to the provisions of the plan documents and if those provisions were clear and consistent; and
- members were eligible for PEBP's benefits at the time a service paid by Via Benefits was incurred.



OPERATIONAL REVIEW

Objectives

CTI's Operational Review evaluated Via Benefits' claim system, staffing, and procedures related to administration including enrollment, customer service, and overpayment recovery. It was also used to verify compliance with contract terms and in support of our Random Sample Audit activities.

Scope

The scope of our review included:

- 1. Claim administrator information:
 - Insurance and bonding
 - Conflicts of interest
 - Performance standards
 - Business continuity planning
 - System software
 - Offsite claim administration
- 2. Claim funding:
 - Claim funding mechanism
 - Check processing and security
- 3. Claim adjudication, customer service, and eligibility maintenance procedures:
 - Contributions and rollovers
 - Claim processing
 - Customer service call and inquiry handling
 - Overpayment and adjustments
 - System security
- 4. Privacy and security compliance

Methodology

CTI used an Operational Review Questionnaire to gather information from Via Benefits. We reviewed Via Benefits' responses and any supporting documentation supplied to gain an understanding of the procedures, staffing, and systems used to administer the PEBP's HRA plan. This allowed us to conduct the audit more effectively.

Findings

We observed the following from Via Benefits' response to the operational review questionnaire:

- Via Benefits indicated it maintained levels and types of insurance reasonable and customary for a health services organization with comparable size and market presence.
- Willis Towers Watson (WTW), parent company of Via Benefits, reported it had been audited by KPMG LLP, for compliance with the standards of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants through the issuance of a Service Organization Controls (SOC) 1 Report and provided CTI a copy of the report.



- The business continuity plan provided by Via Benefits included two approaches to data protection: 1) continuous offsite replication to a second, geographically distant location and, 2) the use of daily backups of files and databases.
- Via Benefits indicated no claim processing functions, member services, or provider services were outsourced. All claim processing was handled by a dedicated team of claim processors.
- Refunds and returned checks were forwarded to PEBP for deposit into PEBP's bank account.
- Via Benefits indicated PEBP provided the allocation amount for which participants were eligible. Effective May 31, 2021, PEBP implemented an \$8,000 cap on the available balance.
- Via Benefits indicated loss of HRA eligibility was the biggest reason for a claim overpayment; and the biggest reason for loss of eligibility was a late notification of death status.
- Via Benefits provided an overpayment report for FY2025 showing \$240,047.64 in overpayments on 1,020 transactions. Via Benefits recovered \$1,368.54 of the overpaid amounts.
- Customer service operations were available via phone Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 7:00 PM EST, excluding holidays.
- The online portal allowed members to submit claims, check claim status, check participant balance, support documents submittal, and view historical information.
- Via Benefits communicated with account holders via mail or email. It provided digital newsletters approximately every two months, a one-time enrollment guide mailing when a participant aged into Medicare, and a one-time HRA welcome packet mailing upon initial qualification.
- Via Benefits reported it used secure system passwords and system authorization, as well as separation of duties for system security. It also limited access to eligibility maintenance and claim adjudication.
- Via Benefits' Acclaim System Controls document provided a thorough overview including detail
 on data entry logic, duplicate logic, processing thresholds for processor levels and overpayment
 logic as examples.
- Web-based security and compliance training was provided to Via Benefits staff within 90 days after hire and then annually thereafter.
- Via Benefits reported there were no privacy or security breaches identified during the audit period.



Performance Guarantee Validation

As part of CTI's audit of PEBP, we reviewed the Performance Guarantees included in its contract with Via Benefits. The self-reported results for plan year 2025 follow.

Metric and Service Objective	Actual	Met/ Not Met
Reports Annual Review: Reports provided within 15 days.	All reports delivered within 15 days	Met
HRA Web Services Annual Review: 99% availability of web services for benefit information and HRA information exclusive of scheduled maintenance.	99.72%	Met
Customer Service Abandon Rate Annual Review: The percentage of incoming calls abandoned by participants be 5% or less.	6.43%	Not Met
Customer Service Speed to Answer Quarter Review: Incoming telephone calls answered in less than or equal to:		
Ninety seconds in Q1 PY 2025	Q1 PY 2025 – 1:28	Met
Five minutes in Q2 PY 2025	Q2 PY 2025 – 11:41	Not Met
Two minutes in Q3 PY 2025	Q3 PY 2025 – 1:35	Met
Ninety seconds in Q4 PY 2025	Q4 PY 2025 – 0:06	Met
Customer Satisfaction Quarterly Review: At least 80% of participants	Q1 PY 2025 – 88.21%	Met
surveyed will be satisfied with services.	Q2 PY 2025 – 81.32%	
	Q3 PY 2025 – 91.70%	
	Q4 PY 2025 – 90.91%	
Disclosure of Subcontractors Per Violation: additional subcontractors shall not be engaged, unless at least 60 days prior notice to the engagement of a new subcontractor.	100%	Met
Unauthorize Transfer of Data Per Violation: All data will be stored, processed, and maintained on designated servers. Any changes must have 60 day notification.	100%	Met



RANDOM SAMPLE AUDIT

Objective

The objective of the Random Sample Audit was to identify any administrative process deficiencies in PEBP's health reimbursement arrangement claims.

Scope

The Random Sample Audit included a random sample of 200 HRA claims paid or denied during the audit period. Via Benefits' performance was measured for the following key performance categories:

- Claim Financial Precision
- Claim Processing Payment Precision

We also measured claim turnaround time, a commonly relied upon performance measure.

Methodology

The Random Sample Audit was conducted remotely at CTI's Des Moines, Iowa office. A CTI auditor reviewed each sample claim selected to determine if it was paid or processed correctly based on member eligibility or plan provisions as defined in the plan documents or amendments.

CTI cited errors when a sampled claim was determined to have been paid or processed incorrectly. Payment errors were observed based on how the selected claim was paid and the information Via Benefits had at the time the transaction was processed.

Findings

CTI defines claim financial precision as the total correct claim payments made compared to the total dollars of correct claim payments that should have been made for the audit sample. Claim processing payment precision is defined as the total number of payments made correctly without a payment or nonpayment error compared to the total number of payments issued. The sampled claims were selected from the PEBP HRA claims processed during the 2025 plan year.

Via Benefits met the performance guarantees for claim financial precision and claim turnaround time, they did not meet the guarantee for claim processing payment precision.

Note: A summary of each finding follows the chart below.

Performance Measure	Claims Sampled		Sampled Claims with Errors		Results
Performance Weasure	Claims	Dollars Paid	Claims	Dollars Paid	Nesuits
Claim Financial Precision	200	\$23,044.12	5	\$209.96	99.09%
Claim Processing Payment Precision	200		5		97.50%
Claim Turnaround Time	Average 2 business days		0.44 days		



Random Sample Findings Detail Report					
Audit Number	Over/ (Under) Paid	Via Benefits Response	CTI's Conclusion		
Incorrect	Claim Amour	nt			
1123 1189	\$3.32 \$4.00	Agree.	Procedural errors and overpayments remain. Incorrect premium amounts were entered.		
Unknow	n Claimant Pa	id			
1178	\$202.64	Disagree. The processor inferred the participant's name with the indications provided including <i>Participant Only</i> and <i>PEBP dental</i> and <i>Spouse Not Coverage</i> . Spouse and dependent coverage are not covered. Although the name is not explicitly mentioned, we were able to later confirm claim was approved appropriately based on previous claim submissions. Reference Claim number XXXXX8265.	Procedural error and overpayment remain. The original claim submission did not include information needed to identify the claimant and should have been pended or denied until the claimant was verified.		
Procedu	Procedural Errors – Incorrect Date of Service				
1074 1079	NA	Agree.	Procedural errors remain. The incorrect dates were used on the reimbursement.		

Additional Observations

During the Random Sample Audit, CTI's auditor observed the following procedures or situations that may not have caused an error on the sampled claim but may impact future claims or overall quality of service.

Audit Number	Observation
1021	The sampled claim was paid via check and was handled correctly. CTI noted other claims processed before and after the sample claim in the member's history were suspended stating Bank Account Required. Via Benefits stated PEBP required ACH only beginning 9/1/25; this procedure caused claim submissions prior to 9/1/25 that were pended for funds to continue to pend for bank account information once funds became available.
1175, 1185	Via Benefits' protocol was to process claims as one payment for multiple receipts. Best practice would be to separate individual claims to allow for identification and prevention of potential duplicate payments. In the samples cited, multiple receipts were combined into one claim.



ELIGIBILITY VERIFICATION

CTI electronically compared dates of service to PEBP's electronic eligibility file received from TELUS Health. CTI's screening revealed some services were paid during the audit period for potentially ineligible claimants. The results were provided to TELUS Health for its review and comment. At this time, potentially overpaid amounts have been flagged into one of the following categories:

Description	Claim Lines	Members	Paid Amount*
Member Not on File	372	34	\$51,994
Incurred After Member Benefit End Date	178	62	\$24,595
Incurred Prior to Member Benefit Begin Date	18	6	1,999
TOTALS	568	102	\$78,588

^{*}CTI notes that 0.41% of PEBP's total medical spend processed by Via Benefits was identified as paid for members who may not have been eligible for coverage. These results are within the norm of less than 0.5% CTI generally reports.



PLAN YEAR 2025 RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of the Plan year 2025 audit, CTI recommends:

- 1. Via Benefits coach its claims processors on errors and additional observations identified during the audit including:
 - Incorrect claim amounts entered creating overpayments
 - Verification of claimant prior to issuing payment
 - Verification of claim dates of service
 - Clarification and consistent application of the ACH banking requirements
- 2. PEBP ensures receipt of penalty payments for missed performance guarantees for:
 - Claim Processing Payment Precision
 - Customer Service Abandonment Rate
 - Customer Service Average Speed of Answer
- 3. PEBP and TELUS review eligibility screening results for potential workflow improvements to reduce payments made for ineligible members.



CONCLUSION

Via Benefits met the performance metrics for claim financial precision and claim turnaround time for FY2025 and did not meet the metric for claim processing payment precision and a \$10,000 penalty is owed.

We consider it a privilege to have worked for, and with, your staff and administrator. Thank you for choosing CTI.



APPENDIX – ADMINISTRATOR RESPONSE TO INITIAL REPORT

Additional information submitted to CTI from the administrator in response to the initial report is reviewed and observations may be removed prior to the final report being published. While a removed observation will not be included in the final report, it may be referenced in the administrator's response to the initial report.



October 23, 2025

State of Nevada Public Employees Benefits Program:

On behalf of Willis Towers Watson (WTW) regarding the draft report of the Audit of the State of Nevada Public Employees' Benefits Program Health Savings Account and Health Reimbursement Arrangement for the period of July 2024-June 2025 please see our response to the report and the auditors recommendations below:

Observation:

Audit Number 1021:

Effective September $\mathbf{1}^{\text{st}}$, 2025, Nevada PEBP implemented a direct deposit account requirement for participants to receive reimbursements from their HRA. Due to this update any existing claims in a pended or held status indicate a direct deposit account is required to issue the reimbursement.

Audit Number 1175 & 1185:

Below is our logic and rules on why we combined the claim into one claim line instead of multiple lines:

The term, "Clubbing," refers to combining multiple expense amounts and/or dates of service found on supporting documentation and entering them into one claim line instead of several individual claim lines in CPI.

Required Information:

In order to "Club," expenses, the requirements below must be met. Eligible Health Care Expenses that are on the same document (EOB, receipt, statement, or invoice) and meet the criteria below, must be clubbed into one claim line entry if applicable.

Expenses must be for the same person (participant or dependent)

- Expenses must be on the same document (Please note that multiple individual strips or receipts that are put on one piece of paper should not be clubbed)
- Expenses must be for the same provider
- Expenses must be for same Category/Claim Type
- Expenses must be for the same calendar year

Guidance:

Common documentation that can be used to club expenses:

Prescriptions





- Cash register receipts (Meaning all eligible items contained in one receipt should be clubbed including tax on those items if applicable)
- Ledgers from the pharmacy
- Dental Expenses
 - Invoice
 - Statement
 - Ledger
 - EOB
- Medical Expenses
 - o EOB
 - o Invoice
 - Statement
 - Ledger

Claim Entry Instructions:

- If there are multiple years on the same document, do not enter a line that crosses plan years when clubbing.
 - o Processor must enter a clubbed line for expenses within the same year.
 - For example, if the ledger has dates from 2023 and 2024 –
 processor would club all expenses for 2023 and enter into one
 claim line and then enter a second line for expenses from 2024.
- If the required information listed above is not met, do not club the lines. Enter the Health Care Expenses on individual claim lines per claim processing guidelines.

Recommendations:

Based on the findings of the Plan year 2025 audit, CTI recommends:

 ${\bf 1.}\ {\bf Via\ Benefits\ coach\ its\ claims\ processors\ on\ errors\ and\ additional\ observations\ identified\ during}$

the audit including:

- Incorrect claim amounts entered creating overpayments
- Verification of claimant prior to issuing payment
- Verification of claim dates of service
- Clarification and consistent application of the ACH banking requirements
- 2. PEBP ensures receipt of penalty payments for missed performance guarantees for:
 - Claim Processing Payment Precision
 - Customer Service Abandonment Rate
 - Customer Service Average Speed of Answer
- 3. PEBP and TELUS review eligibility screening results for potential workflow improvements to reduce payments made for ineligible members.





WTW Response:

- 1. WTW's Claims Manager has confirmed that claim processors are coached on all identified errors, and we have shared the report broadly with the onshore team.
- 2. WTW will pay Nevada PEBP for the following missed performance guarantees as soon as administratively possible once the report is provided to the Nevada PEBP Board of Directors.

Performance Guarantee	Metric	Result	Penalty
Claim Processing Payment Precision	98% or Greater	97.5%	\$10,000
Customer Service Abandonment Rate	5% or Less	6.43%	\$7,500
Customer Service Average Speed of Answer	Ninety seconds in Q1 PY 2025 Five minutes in Q2 PY 2025 Two minutes in Q3 PY 2025 Ninety seconds in Q4 PY 2025	Q1 PY 2025 - 1:28 Q2 PY 2025 - 11:41 Q3 PY 2025 - 1:35 Q4 PY 2025 - 0:06	\$2,000 Per Missed Quarter. Note: Only Q2 PY 2025 was missed.
Total:			\$19,500

3. WTW is currently working with TELUS Health to identify participants who had incorrect data loaded to their accounts, which cause participants to lose their HRA qualification and place their account into overpayment. Nevada PEBP is aware of the incorrect data that was sent to WTW and that WTW and TELUS are working together to identify impacted participants and ensure correct data is received to update the accounts. WTW will continue to work with TELUS and Nevada PEBP on identifying solutions for data corrections.

In conclusion this audit has provided valuable insights. We are confident the recommendations outlined in this report will contribute to the continued success of service to the participants. We appreciate the cooperation demonstrated by Claim Technologies Incorporated on behalf of the State of Nevada Public Employees' Benefits Program. We look forward to our continued partnership.



Claim Technologies Incorporated representatives may from time to time provide observations regarding certain tax and legal requirements including the requirements of federal and state health care reform legislation. These observations are based on our good-faith interpretation of laws and regulations currently in effect and are not intended to be a substitute for legal or tax advice. Please contact your legal counsel and tax accountant for advice regarding legal and tax requirements.

